



March 26, 2008

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N. E. Washington, D. C 20426

> Re: Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts -Project No. 2299 -- Article 58 Annual Report for 2007

Dear Secretary Bose:

Enclosed pursuant to Article 58 of the license for Project No. 2299 and Section 15 of the 1995 Don Pedro Project Settlement Agreement is the 2007 Lower Tuolumne River annual report. If you have any questions, please contact Tim Ford at 209-883-8275.

Respectfully submitted,

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Allen Short General Manager

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Larry Weis General Manager

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

))

)

Turlock Irrigation District and Modesto Irrigation District

Project No. 2299

2007 LOWER TUOLUMNE RIVER ANNUAL REPORT

2007 Annual Summary Report

Exhibits: Spawning runs, Ocean catch, rearing/outmigration data, Delta salvage and survival Attachment A: Water, Flows, Temperature, and Flow Schedule Correspondence Attachment B: 2007 Technical Advisory Committee Materials

Report 2007-1: 2007 Spawning Survey Report

Report 2007-2: Spawning Survey Summary Update

Report 2007-3: 2007 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update

Report 2007-4: 2007 Rotary Screw Trap Report

Report 2007-5: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update

Report 2007-6: Flow, Delta Export, Weather, and Water Quality Data Report: 2003-2007

Report 2007-7: 2007 Rainbow Trout Data Summary Report

- FERC PROJECT NO. 2299 -

2007 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

Turlock and Modesto Irrigation Districts

By Tim Ford Aquatic Biologist

1 – Introduction	1
2 - Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TRTAC)	1
3 - Program Goals and Comparative Population Goals	1
3.1 - Salmon Population	2
3.2 - Outside Factors	2
3.2.1 - Ocean harvest	2
3.2.3 – Delta issues	3
3.2.3.1 - Salmon salvage and losses at Delta water export facilities	3
3.2.3.2 - Spring smolt survival conditions	4
3.2.3.3 – Other Delta issues	4
3.3 - ESA Actions	5
4 - Flow Schedules and Operations	5
5 - Monitoring Information	
5.1 – Salmon Spawning Escapement	5
5.2 - Quality and Condition of Spawning Habitat	
5.3 - Relative Salmon Fry Density/Female Spawners	5
5.4 – Salmon Fry Distribution and Survival	6
5.5 - Juvenile Salmon Distribution and Temperature Relationships	6
5.6 – Salmon Smolt Survival	6
5.7 – Project-related Monitoring	7
5.8 - Other Monitoring Information	7
6 - Non-Flow Measure Activities In 2007	7
7 - Anticipated Non-Flow Measure Activities In 2008	7
8 - Other FERC Settlement Agreement Activities	
8.1 - Section 11 - Flood Management	8
8.2 - Section 19 – Riparian Habitat and Recreation	8
9 - Program Expenses Through 2006	8
10 - References	8
11 - List of 1992-2007 Technical Reports by Topic	0
Salmon Population Models10	0
Salmon Spawning Surveys	0
Seine, Snorkel, Fyke Reports and Various Juvenile Salmon Studies1	1
Screw Trap Monitoring	
<u>11</u>	
Fluctuation Assessments	1

Predation Evaluations	12
Smolt Monitoring and Survival Evaluations	12
Fish Community Assessments	12
Invertebrate Reports	13
Delta Salmon Salvage	13
Gravel, Incubation, and Redd Distribution Studies	13
Water Temperature and Water Quality	13
IFIM Assessment	14
Flow and Delta Exports	14
Restoration, Project Monitoring, and Mapping	14
General Monitoring Information	

Exhibits:

- 1. Spawning run estimates
- 2. Ocean catch and harvest rate data
- 3. 2007 Basin flow and salmon rearing/outmigration data
- 4. Delta export and salmon salvage data

Attachment A: Water, Flows, Temperature, and Flow Schedule Correspondence

Attachment B: 2007 Technical Advisory Committee Materials

General List of Acronym and Abbreviations

ACOE	Army Corps of Engineers
AF	acre-feet, a measure of water volume
AFRP	Anadromous Fish Restoration Program (part of USFWS)
AMF	Adaptive Management Forum
AT	air temperature
BAWSCA	Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency
CALFED	now known as California Bay-Delta Authority
CBDA	California Bay-Delta Authority
CCSF	City and County of San Francisco
CDEC	California Data Exchange Center
CDRR	combined differential recovery rate
cfs	cubic feet per second, a measure of flow rate
CRRF	California Rivers Restoration Fund
CSPA	California Sportfishing Protection Alliance
CWT	coded wire tag
CVP	Central Valley Project
CY	cubic yard
CDFG or DFG	California Department of Fish and Game
DPS	distinct population segment
DWR	Department of Water Resources
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESU	evolutionarily significant unit
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FL	fork length
FOT	Friends of the Tuolumne
FSA	Don Pedro Project 1995 FERC Settlement Agreement
FWS	see USFWS
HORB	Head of Old River Barrier
HRI	harvest rate index
IEP	Interagency Ecological Program
IFIM	Instream flow incremental methodology
mm	millimeter
M&T	McBain and Trush (consultants)
MID	Modesto Irrigation District
NHI	Natural Heritage Institute
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA Fisheries	also National Marine Fisheries Service
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NWS	National Weather Service
ORNL	Oak Ridge National Laboratory
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
R(letter and/or #)	specific riffle (location identifier, e.g. RA7 is Riffle A7)
RM	river mile
RST	rotary screw trap
SJR	San Joaquin River
SJRA	San Joaquin River Agreement
SRP	Special Run/Pool (mined area of river, usually with #, e.g. SRP 9)
SWP	State Water Project
SWS	Stillwater Sciences (consultants)
TID	Turlock Irrigation District
TRE	Tuolumne River Expeditions
TRPT or TRT	Tuolumne River Preservation Trust (also as Tuolumne River
Trust)	
TRTAC	Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VAMP	Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan
WT	water temperature
WY	Water Year

<u>1 – Introduction</u>

This is the 12th annual report to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) pursuant to Article 58 of the July 31,1996 Order on FERC Project License 2299 and the 1995 Don Pedro Project FERC Settlement Agreement (FSA).

This report covers the 2007 calendar year and contains:

- (1) A summary of 2007 TRTAC/FSA/FERC Order activities
- (2) A review of fishery and habitat conditions and related information

(3) Technical reports on monitoring and restoration, including several reports with long-term updates.

An eight volume report (20-Year Report) pursuant to Article 39 of the License issued in 1964, covering the first 20 years of Project operation which began in 1971, was filed in 1992 and included 28 technical reports. The first in the current series of Article 58 Annual Reports, the 1996 Annual Report, consisted of seven volumes with 14 technical reports that included information for the 1992-96 period as well as other material not contained in the 20-Year Report. An updated listing of Article 39 and Article 58 technical reports filed from 1992 to present is at the end of this annual report (see #11 below).

The Article 58 reporting requirement also required a summary report to be filed by 01Apr2005 and that report (2005 Ten Year Summary Report) was filed in March 2005. Since then, numerous filings have been made with FERC by various parties as part of follow-up to that report, including a July 2006 FERC Public Meeting held in Sacramento, CA. FERC staff requested in December 2006 that the Districts submit a monitoring study plan and that study plan was filed in March 2007. Another public meeting was held by FERC in August 2007.

2 - Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TRTAC)

The TRTAC is a key element in implementing the 1996 FERC Order and the FSA. The TRTAC meets at least quarterly and provides an important coordination function on monitoring activities, data exchange, habitat restoration, and flow operations. Four TRTAC meetings were held in 2007: 08Mar, 14Jun, 13Sep, and 13Dec.

<u>Attachment B</u> contains the 2007 TRTAC materials. The website (<u>http://tuolumnerivertac.com/</u>) is used for posting various TRTAC items (documents, reports, correspondence, meeting materials, etc.) and related information.

3 - Program Goals and Comparative Population Goals

FSA Section 8, the Strategy for Salmon Recovery, set forth the Tuolumne River Chinook Salmon Program goals as (1) increase naturally occurring salmon populations; (2) protect any remaining genetic distinction; and (3) increase salmon habitat in the Tuolumne River. The program is to employ flow and non-flow measures and an adaptive management strategy.

FSA Section 9 recognized that many factors affecting the Tuolumne salmon population were beyond the control of the FSA participants. Thus the FSA established narrative goals: "(1) Improvements in smolt survival and successful escapement in the Tuolumne River; (2) increase in naturally reproducing Chinook salmon in this subbasin; (3) barring events outside the control of the participants to the settlement, by 2005 the salmon population should be at levels where there is some resiliency so that some of the management measures described herein may be tested, on an experimental basis."

Longer term background in this annual report is provided in <u>Reports 2007-2, 3, 4, and 5</u>, and in other sections to further gauge progress of implementing the FSA strategy and meeting the FSA goals.

3.1 - Salmon Population

The preliminary 2007 Tuolumne fall-run Chinook population estimate by CDFG (<u>Report 2007-1</u>), using an adjusted Petersen formula, was 211 salmon, a decrease from the 625 estimated for the 2006 run (<u>Exhibit 1</u>) and the lowest number since 1992. There were no CWT salmon found in the carcass survey, suggesting that few, if any, survived to spawn as 3-year olds from the 2005 CWT study releases made at 4,000 cfs by CDFG. This is despite the CWT study result that indicated high relative survival within the Tuolumne River based on the inland smolt recoveries (<u>Report 2007-5</u>).

Initial run estimates were also much lower in 2007 for other SJR tributaries: the Stanislaus River was 405 (down from the 3,020 weir count in 2006) and the Merced River (river and hatchery combined) was 573 (down from 2,150 in 2006). The combined 3-river estimate was about 1,190 as compared to about 5,800 in 2006, and the lowest total since 1991.

Numbers of fall-run salmon for the entire Central Valley were likewise much lower with a preliminary estimate of just 90,400 as compared to 276,500 in 2006 (Exhibit 1). That number is less than the lower management target of 122,000 for the Sacramento River system and may lead to a total closure of commercial and sport salmon fisheries for California in 2007. In addition, very few salmon were estimated to be 2-year olds in Central Valley runs, indicating that numbers in 2008 runs will also be low, even if there is no harvest allowed.

3.2 - Outside Factors

The FSA (Section 10) recognized there are factors outside the control of the Districts and beyond the Tuolumne River that affect the Chinook salmon population, including juvenile survival issues in the Delta related to water export operations and other factors, and ocean salmon harvest. Other outside influences, such as overall ocean conditions and San Joaquin River/Delta water quality, including periods of low dissolved oxygen levels near Stockton during fall adult migration, can also affect the salmon populations.

3.2.1 - Ocean harvest

Preliminary 2007 ocean harvest and Central Valley escapement (spawning run) data are available from the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC 2008). The PFMC reported a 2007 ocean catch (combined commercial troll and sport harvest) of 111,600 Chinook salmon landed south of Pt. Arena, similar to the 124,800 in 2006. However, the estimated 2007 Central Valley total "adult" escapement (for all Chinook runs and hatchery returns) of 120,400 salmon was much lower than the 310,100 salmon estimated for 2006, leading to the present crisis for salmon management and the fishing industry.

The total 2007 Central Valley Abundance Index, comprising the sum of catch and "adult" (estimated age 3+ salmon) escapement, of 232,000 was lower than in 2006 (434,900). The 2007 catch and escapement values resulted in an estimated Central Valley "Harvest Rate Index" (HRI) of 48%, much higher than the 29% of 2006. Graphs of PFMC data are in <u>Exhibit 2</u>.

3.2.2 – Ocean conditions

Central Valley Chinook salmon spend the majority of their lives in the eastern Pacific Ocean and the influence of ocean conditions on growth and survival is widely recognized (Williams, 2006). Temperature, upwelling, and general productivity of the Northern California Current from year to year varies considerably. Peterson et al. (2006) reported that 2004 indicators were mostly poor. Collectively, the ocean ecosystem indicators for salmon were considered uniformly poor in 2005 and poor to intermediate in 2006

(http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fed/oeip/g-forecast.cfm).

The widespread stock collapse of California salmon populations is considered, at least in part, a direct result of the prior unfavorable ocean conditions that appear to have impacted multiple brood years. Further analysis of factors associated with the salmon decline is ongoing by researchers and agency scientists on the West Coast.

3.2.3 – Delta issues

3.2.3.1 - Salmon salvage and losses at Delta water export facilities

Exhibit 4 contains the 2007 State (SWP) and Federal (CVP) delta water export facility salmon salvage and loss information. Natural/unmarked salmon salvage and losses for Jan-Jun at the facilities were much lower in 2007, with combined facility estimates for Jan-Jun of about 8,000 salmon salvaged and about 11,500 in losses (vs. about 40,000 and 58,000 respectively in 2006). The reported numbers do not include associated indirect losses within the Delta, plus the salvage loss estimates for fry (mostly in Jan-Mar) may be inherently low due to reduced screening efficiency. It is not certain how many of these salmon were from the San Joaquin basin as there is presently no attribution to specific origins. However, comparison of salmon size and timing with tributary and mainstem seine, screw trap, and trawl catch data indicate the potential interception of San Joaquin basin salmon at the facilities (Exhibit 3).

Salmon <50mm (fry) were largely absent at the facilities from January to late March. There was a dominant salvage of larger juveniles/smolts (75-110 mm) from April through mid June. Weekly density (combined salvage and loss/1000 AF) was highest in mid April at the CVP and

in mid April and early June at the SWP. Weekly salvage and loss numbers were also highest in mid April at the CVP and at the SWP at a time when exports were much higher than the flow in the SJR (<u>Exhibit 4</u>). None of the CWT salmon smolts released in the Merced River were recovered in the salvage sampling program.

3.2.3.2 - Spring smolt survival conditions

The San Joaquin River Agreement (SJRA) and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan (VAMP) are elements for meeting the objectives of the 1995 State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan over a 12 year period beginning in 2000, pursuant to SWRCB Decision 1641. The program includes a 31-day period, usually from mid-Apr to mid-May, with an experimental combination of salmon protective measures: specified San Joaquin River flows at Vernalis, Head of Old River Barrier (HORB), and reduced State and Federal delta exports. The Tuolumne River outmigration pulse volume has been mostly scheduled to coincide with the VAMP period, accounting for a 2-day lead time for flows from La Grange to be at Vernalis, and to provide transition days to and from base flows. An additional Tuolumne River spring pulse flow volume of up to 22,000 acre-feet (AF) from TID/MID, supplemental to FERC pulse allocations, can be required under the SJRA to help meet target flows at Vernalis. Other pulse flow may be added to the Tuolumne River through a water sharing arrangement with other parties to the SJRA, as was the case in 2007.

As reported in SJRGA 2008, the 2007 VAMP implementation had a HORB installed and the designated VAMP period was 22Apr-22May. Average combined state and federal water export rate was 1,486 cfs and average Vernalis flow was 3,263 cfs, similar to 2002-2004 conditions.

The smolt evaluations used a total of about 1000 hatchery smolts with implanted acoustic transmitters. There were 2 primary study periods with about 100 smolts released at 5 locations in each. Those salmon were tracked with the use of ten stationary receivers and a mobile receiver. The study results were incomplete due to some mechanical failures, but in general indicated higher mortality at several locations, including the vicinity of the Head of Old River, near the intake of the CVP facilities, in the SWP Clifton Court Forebay, and especially near Stockton's wastewater treatment plant. Predation was likely a direct mechanism of mortality in some cases, although there may have been other factors involved near Stockton. Such details were previously not available using the CWT methodology; however overall survival through the Delta could not be obtained due to the absence of receivers at Jersey Point or Chipps Island.

3.2.3.3 – Other Delta issues

There are several other major recognized issues of concern for salmon in the Delta region. Water quality issues, from toxicants in general to low dissolved oxygen in the Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel, are being reviewed or addressed by various agencies. In addition, the recent years of low SJR smolt survival in VAMP studies also corresponds to a general decline reported in several other delta fish species, referred to as the Pelagic Organism Decline or POD, which continues to be extensively investigated by CALFED agencies and other researchers (Baxter et al. 2008). There are also reductions in delta exports being implemented under court order in 2008 to reduce "take" of one of the listed species, the delta smelt.

3.3 - ESA Actions

NMFS first determined "threatened" status for anadromous forms of rainbow trout (steelhead), *Oncorhynchus mykiss,* in the California Central Valley ESU in 1998. A court ruling in 2004 identified that NMFS had to reinstate a proper listing. NMFS issued the final rule of a new "threatened" determination using a DPS policy (not the former ESU policy) in Jan2006. A new legal case opposing the NMFS relisting was filed in Apr2006 - that case is still pending.

<u>4 - Flow Schedules and Operations</u>

Calendar year 2007 included Article 37 minimum flow and pulse flow requirements spanning the 2006-2007 and 2007-2008 "fish flow years", which are usually from 15Apr-14Apr. <u>Attachment A</u> contains the primary FERC flow schedule correspondence. The 2007-2008 "fish flow year" had the lowest annual Article 37 flow requirement (115,836 AF) and was the first Median Critical year since the 1996 Order.

The 2007 calendar year included part of the 2007 and 2008 "water years (WY)" which run from Oct-Sep. WY2007 (Oct2006-Sep2007) Tuolumne River preliminary computed natural runoff was 45% of the long-term average. The 2007 San Joaquin Basin 60-20-20 Water Supply Index was 1.958 – a Median Critical Year in the Article 37 classification. The daily average computed natural flow, actual La Grange flow, and FERC flow schedules for WY2007/2008 are graphed in <u>Attachment A</u>. Actual flows at other SJR basin locations, Don Pedro Reservoir storage, and snow and precipitation data are included as well.

For the first time since the 1996 Order, there was no fall (attraction) pulse flow scheduled and that was in accordance with the Median Critical year type of 2007.

<u>5 - Monitoring Information</u>

License 2299 Article 58 and FSA Section 13 listed several monitoring elements as follows:

5.1 – Salmon Spawning Escapement

The California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) conducted the fall spawning survey. <u>Report 2007-1</u> contains the CDFG 2007 report and <u>Report 2007-2</u> updates the long-term summary and trends – the recent estimates are in Section 3.1 above.

5.2 - Quality and Condition of Spawning Habitat

No work was conducted in 2007.

5.3 - Relative Salmon Fry Density/Female Spawners

Tuolumne River peak salmon fry density from seining in 2007 was similar in timing (Feb) to 2002-2006, but was very low overall (<u>Report 2007-3</u>). Both the peak and average fry density for

the mid-Jan to mid-Mar period was typical for the low number of female spawners. It was estimated there were about 200 juvenile salmon (fry to smolts) that passed the Waterford screw trap site per female spawner (<u>Report 2007-4</u>).

5.4 - Salmon Fry Distribution and Survival

There was no catch of salmon fry (\leq 50 mm) or larger juveniles below RM 24 by seining. Highest densities of seine-caught fry were in the spawning reach down to RM 31.6 (<u>Report</u> 2007-3). Fry capture at the Waterford screw trap (RM 30) occurred from mid-Jan through March with an estimated passage of about 20,500 for that life stage; estimated peak passage was in mid-Feb associated with a storm event (<u>Report 2007-4</u>). The screw trap sampling at Grayson (RM 5) was later than the primary fry period, so no fry information is available for that site.

Exhibits 3 and 4 have information on the size and numbers of salmon captured in sampling efforts from lower stations, the SJR, and the South Delta. Those include screw trap, trawl, and export salvage sampling programs within the Jan-Jun season that spans the entire juvenile salmon (fry to smolt) rearing and migration period. There were only two salmon captured by seine in the SJR by the USFWS and none at the two SJR sites sampled by the Districts' so no graph for SJR seine catch was included. Fry density was also very low in the Mossdale trawl catch and in the export salvage.

5.5 - Juvenile Salmon Distribution and Temperature Relationships

The seine report classifies juvenile salmon as >50 mm, whereas the screw trap report distinguishes parr (50-69 mm) and smolt (>70 mm) size ranges.

Seine sampling monitored the winter/spring distribution of juvenile salmon (>50 mm) and other fishes in the Tuolumne River (<u>Report 2007-3</u>). The only large catch of juveniles was on 28Feb at Waterford (Hickman Bridge RM 31.6).

Screw trap sampling at Waterford had an estimate of about 37,000 salmon \geq 50 mm (about 7,500 parr and 29,500 smolts) moving past that site. The Grayson trap estimate was only about 950 smolts and the peak passage was associated with a pulse flow in late April (<u>Report 2007-4</u>).

The thermograph data for the Tuolumne and San Joaquin Rivers, along with other monitoring data, are posted at <u>http://tuolumnerivertac.com/data.htm</u>. Figures for WY 2007 daily average thermograph data are in <u>Report 2007-6</u> and for the first part of WY 2008 in <u>Attachment A</u>.

5.6 – Salmon Smolt Survival

TRTAC smolt survival studies using CWT salmon ended in 2002 and ocean catch and adult returns from that year are complete. CDFG conducted an additional CWT survival evaluation in Apr2005 at about 4,000 cfs. Ocean and inland adult recoveries for that 2004 brood year will be mainly complete in 2008. The updated recovery data for Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced River CWT releases are in <u>Report 2007-5</u>.

5.7 – Project-related Monitoring

No work was conducted in 2007.

5.8 - Other Monitoring Information

It is notable that the 2007 seine sampling in the SJR recorded only five fish species. That is by far the lowest species diversity recorded for the SJR from that sampling program, which had recorded from 12-21 species annually in the 1996-2006 period (<u>Report 2007-3</u>).

Late June and mid-September snorkel surveys were conducted due to favorable (non-flood) flows in 2007. The early survey recorded 67 juvenile Chinook salmon down to RM 47.9, while 343 rainbow trout were observed as far down as RM 35.3. The later survey recorded no salmon and 198 rainbow trout were observed down to RM 38.1, the 2nd highest number of trout for September snorkel surveys in the 2001-2007 period. <u>Report 2007-7</u> is an updated data summary of the records for rainbow trout.

Aquatic invertebrate sampling was done in the summer of 2007 due to suitable flow conditions. Analysis is proceeding on those samples and an assessment with the 2005 samples may be completed by 2009.

6 - Non-Flow Measure Activities In 2007

The construction of the TRTAC Gasburg Creek sedimentation basin project was completed in 2007. However, revegetation and storm water monitoring was not implemented as was described by the TID Project Manager in the Dec2007 TRTAC meeting restoration update in Attachment B. That was the result of CDFG removing that proposed contract amendment from the agenda of the Nov2007 CALFED amendment meeting.

The TRTAC La Grange gravel addition project (Gravel Infusion Project) was not implemented as was described by the TID Project Manager in the Jun2007 and Sep2007 TRTAC meeting restoration updates in Attachment B. That was the result of CDFG removing the project amendment from the agenda of the May2007 CALFED amendment meeting. CDFG had indicated they were waiting to complete a restoration project review on the Tuolumne and Merced Rivers by the end of 2007, but no report was provided by CDFG as of Mar2008.

The original funding for the Ruddy Project implementation was withdrawn by the funding agencies in 2006 due to problems of the federal land appraisal process. A project redesign and request for the approved funding was submitted by TID in May2007 to CDFG that incorporated both Mining Reach Phases II-III and would use funding originally allotted for Phase III - that request was not acted on by CDFG in 2007.

7 - Anticipated Non-Flow Measure Activities In 2008

Of the ten identified TRTAC priority projects, four of them (SRP 9, 7-11 Mining Reach, RM 43, Gasburg Creek) have been completed. Substantial grant funding was previously approved to

implement three others that can be considered active: Ruddy and Warner-Deardorff projects (Gravel Mining Reach Phases II-III) and the Gravel Infusion Project. However, these TRTAC projects with grants being administered by CDFG will not be implemented in 2008 and their status is uncertain.

The remaining three projects are not active: SRP 10 (no funding for construction, although the design work was completed), gravel cleaning, and the Reed Project (Gravel Mining Reach Phase IV).

8 - Other FERC Settlement Agreement Activities

8.1 - Section 11 - Flood Management

No flood management releases pursuant to ACOE criteria were required in 2007 due to low reservoir storage and the dry conditions (see flow graphs and Don Pedro Reservoir storage graph in <u>Attachment A</u>).

8.2 - Section 19 - Riparian Habitat and Recreation

The East Stanislaus Resource Conservation District (ESRCD) was the public agency funded with the \$500,000 from CCSF pursuant to FSA Section 19. The ESRCD receives assistance from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The amount has been entirely allocated.

9 - Program Expenses Through 2007

Overall funding obligations of FSA costs shared by the Districts and City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) were up to \$1,000,000 for non-flow options (Section 12) and \$1,355,000 for monitoring (Section 13). About \$19,000 was unexpended under Section 12. The Section 13 allocation was reached in 2004, but the Districts and CCSF have continued providing monitoring activities, with the exception of CDFG conducting the fall spawning surveys.

CALFED notified the Districts in Sep2005 that their application for a competitive 3-year monitoring grant was approved for funding. That application was made on behalf of the TRTAC, which had developed and approved the specific river-wide and project monitoring proposal. However, CDFG as grant administrator requested repeated changes to the scope of work. After several iterations of the scope of work were developed and submitted by the Districts at considerable additional expense of time and money, the CDFG had not approved any of them as of March 2007. At that time, CDFG stated they would need to wait until Jul2007 before proceeding further, but the Districts have heard nothing formally from CDFG since about the TRTAC grant. Consequently the TRTAC monitoring grant proposal has not been funded for over two years since approval and the Districts and CCSF have continued to conduct and fund several monitoring activities that were part of the TRTAC grant proposal.

10 - References

Baxter, R. et al. 2008. Pelagic Organism Decline Progress Report: 2007 Synthesis of Results. Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Estuary (IEP). Available at: <u>http://www.science.calwater.ca.gov/pdf/workshops/POD/IEP_POD_2007_synthesis_report_031</u> <u>408.pdf</u>

Pacific Fishery Management Council. 2008. Review of 2007 Ocean Salmon Fisheries and Preseason Report 1: stock abundance analysis for 2008 ocean salmon fisheries. Portland, OR. Available at: <u>http://www.pcouncil.org/salmon/salsafe07/salsafe07.html</u> and <u>http://www.pcouncil.org/salmon/salpreI08/salpreI08.html</u>

Peterson, William T., et al. 2006. Ocean conditions and salmon survival in the Northern California Current. Fish Ecology Division, Northwest Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, Newport OR, 44 pg. http://www.nwfsc.noaa.gov/research/divisions/fed/ecosysrep.pdf

San Joaquin River Group Authority (SJRGA). 2008. 2007 Annual Technical Report: On implementation and monitoring of the San Joaquin River Agreement and the Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan. Prepared for California State Water Resources Control Board in Compliance with D-1641. Available at <u>http://www.sjrg.org/</u>

Williams, John G. 2006. Central Valley Salmon: A Perspective on Chinook and Steelhead in the Central Valley of California. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science. Vol. 4, Issue 3 (December 2006), Article 2. <u>http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol4/iss3/art2</u>

<u>11 - List of 1992-2007 Technical Reports by Topic</u>

Salmon Population Models

- 1992 Appdx. 1: Population Model Documentation
- 1992 Appdx. 26: Export Mortality Fraction Submodel
- 1992 Appdx. 2: Stock Recruitment Analysis of the Population Dynamics of San Joaquin River System Chinook salmon
- Report 1996-5: Stock-Recruitment Analysis Report

Salmon Spawning Surveys

Samon Spawn	ing Surveys
1992 Appdx. 3:	Tuolumne River Salmon Spawning Surveys 1971-88
Report 1996-1:	Spawning Survey Summary Report
96-1.1	1986 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.2	1987 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.3	1988 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.4	1989 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.5	1990 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.6	1991 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.7	1992 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.8	1993 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.9	1994 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.10	1995 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.11	1996 Spawning Survey Report
96-1.12	Population Estimation Methods
1997-1:	1997 Spawning Survey Report and Summary Update
1998-1:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
1999-1:	1998 Spawning Survey Report
2000-1:	1999 and 2000 Spawning Survey Reports
2000-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2001-1:	2001 Spawning Survey Report
2001-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2002-1:	2002 Spawning Survey Report
2002-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2003-1:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2004-1:	2003 and 2004 Spawning Survey Reports
2004-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2006-1:	2005 and 2006 Spawning Survey Reports
2006-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update
2007-1:	2007 Spawning Survey Report
2007-2:	Spawning Survey Summary Update

Seine, Snorkel, Fyke Reports and Various Juvenile Salmon Studies

1992 Appdx. 10: 1987 Juvenile Chinook salmon Mark-Recapture Study

- 1992 Appdx. 12: Data Reports: Seining of Juvenile Chinook salmon in the Tuolumne, San Joaquin, and Stanislaus Rivers, 1986-89
- 1992 Appdx. 13: Report on Sampling of Chinook Salmon Fry and Smolts by Fyke Net and Seine in the Lower Tuolumne River, 1973-86
- 1992 Appdx. 20: Juvenile Salmon Pilot Temperature Observation Experiments
- Report 1996-2: Juvenile Salmon Summary Report
 - 96-2.1 1986 Snorkel Survey Report
 - 96-2.2 1988-89 Pulse Flow Reports
 - 96-2.3 1990 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.4 1991 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.5 1992 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.6 1993 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.7 1994 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.8 1995 Juvenile Salmon Report
 - 96-2.9 1996 Juvenile Salmon Report
- 1997-2: 1997 Juvenile Salmon Report and Summary Update
- 1998-2: 1998 Juvenile Salmon Report and Summary Update
- 1999-4: 1999 Juvenile Salmon Report and Summary Update
- 2000-3: 2000 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2001-3: 2001 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2002-3: 2002 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2003-2: 2003 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2004-3: 2004 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2005-3: 2005 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2006-3: 2006 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update
- 2007-3: 2007 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update

Screw Trap Monitoring

- 1996-12:Screw Trap Monitoring Report: 1995-96
- 1997-3: 1997 Screw Trap and Smolt Monitoring Report
- 1998-3: 1998 Tuolumne River Outmigrant Trapping Report
- 1999-5: 1999 Tuolumne River Upper Rotary Screw Trap Report
- 2000-4: 2000 Tuolumne River Smolt Survival and Upper Screw Traps Report
- 2000-5: 1999-2000 Grayson Screw Trap Report
- 2001-4: 2001 Grayson Screw Trap Report
- 2004-4: 1998, 2002, and 2003 Grayson Screw Trap Reports
- 2004-5: 2004 Grayson Screw Trap Report
- 2005-4: 2005 Grayson Screw Trap Report
- 2005-5: Rotary Screw Trap Summary Update
- 2006-4: 2006 Rotary Screw Trap Report
- 2006-5: Rotary Screw Trap Summary Update

2007-4: 2007 Rotary Screw Trap Report

Fluctuation Assessments

1992 Appdx. 14: Fluctuation Flow Study Report1992 Appdx. 15: Fluctuation Flow Study Plan: DraftReport 2000-6: Tuolumne River Chinook Salmon Fry and Juvenile Stranding Report2005 Ten-Year Summary Report Appdx. E: Stranding Survey Data (1996-2002)

Predation Evaluations

1992 Appdx. 22: Lower Tuolumne River Predation Study Report1992 Appdx. 23: Effects of Turbidity on Bass Predation Efficiency2006-9: Lower Tuolumne River Predation Assessment Final Report

Smolt Monitoring and Survival Evaluations

1992 Appdx. 21: Possible Effects of High Water Temperature on Migrating Salmon Smolts in the San Joaquin River

- 1996-13: Coded-wire Tag Summary Report
- 1998-4: 1998 Smolt Survival Peer Review Report
- 1998-5: CWT Summary Update
- 1999-7: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2000-4: 2000 Tuolumne River Smolt Survival and Upper Screw Traps Report
- 2000-8: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2001-5: Large CWT Smolt Survival Analysis
- 2001-6: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2002-4: Large CWT Smolt Survival Analysis
- 2002-5: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2003-3: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2004-7: Large CWT Smolt Survival Analysis Update
- 2004-8: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2005-6: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2006-6: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- 2007-5: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update

Fish Community Assessments

1992 Appdx. 24: Effects of Introduced Species of Fish in the San Joaquin River System

- 1992 Appdx. 27: Summer Flow Study Report 1988-90
- Report 1996-3: Summer Flow Fish Study Annual Reports: 1991-94
 - 96-3.1 1991 Report
 - 96-3.2 1992 Report
 - 96-3.3 1993 Report
 - 96-3.4 1994 Report
- 2001-8: Distribution and Abundance of Fishes Publication

2002-9:	Publication on the Effects of Flow on Fish Communities
2007-7:	2007 Rainbow Trout Data Summary Report

Invertebrate Reports

1992 Appdx. 16:	Aquatic Invertebrate Studies Report
1992 Appdx. 28:	Summer Flow Invertebrate Study
Report 1996-4:	Summer Flow Aquatic Invertebrate Annual Reports: 1989-93
96-4.1	1989 Report
96-4.2	1990 Report
96-4.3	1991 Report
96-4.4	1992 Report
96-4.5	1993 Report
1996-9:	Aquatic Invertebrate Report
2002-8:	Aquatic Invertebrate Report
2004-9:	Aquatic Invertebrate Monitoring Report (2003-2004)

Delta Salmon Salvage

	-	
1999-6:	1993-99 Delta Salmon	Salvage Report

Gravel, Incubation, and Redd Distribution Studies

- 1992 Appdx. 6: Spawning Gravel Availability and Superimposition Report (incl. map)
- 1992 Appdx. 7: Salmon Redd Excavation Report
- 1992 Appdx. 8: Spawning Gravel Studies Report
- 1992 Appdx. 9: Spawning Gravel Cleaning Methodologies
- 1992 Appdx. 11: An Evaluation of the Effect of Gravel Ripping on Redd Distribution
- 1996-6: Redd Superimposition Report
- 1996-7: Redd Excavation Report
- 1996-8: Gravel Studies Report: 1987-89
- 1996-10: Gravel Cleaning Report: 1991-93
- 2000-7: Tuolumne River Substrate Permeability Assessment and Monitoring Program Report
- 2006-7: Survival to Emergence Study Report

Water Temperature and Water Quality

- 1992 Appdx. 17: Preliminary Tuolumne River Water Temperature Report
- 1992 Appdx. 18: Instream Temperature Model Documentation: Description and Calibration
- 1992 Appdx. 19: Modeled Effects of La Grange Releases on Instream Temperatures in the Lower Tuolumne River
- 1996-11: Intragravel Temperature Report: 1991
- 1997-5: 1987-97 Water Temperature Monitoring Data Report
- 2002-7: 1998-2002 Temperature and Conductivity Data Report
- 2004-10: 2004 Water Quality Report
- 2007-6: Flow, Delta Export, Weather, and Water Quality Data Report: 2003-2007

IFIM Assessment

1992 Appdx. 4: Instream Flow Data Processing, Tuolumne River
1992 Appdx. 5: Analysis of 1981 Lower Tuolumne River IFIM Data
1995 USFWS Report on the Relationship between Instream Flow and Physical Habitat Availability – submitted by Districts to FERC in May 2004

Flow and Delta Exports

1997-4:	Streamflow and Delta Water Export Data Report
2002-6:	1998-2002 Streamflow and Delta Water Export Data Report
2003-4:	Review of 2003 Summer Flow Operation
2007-6:	Flow, Delta Export, Weather, and Water Quality Data Report: 2003-2007

Restoration, Project Monitoring, and Mapping

	J
1996-14:	Tuolumne River GIS Database Report and Map
1999-8:	A Summary of the Habitat Restoration Plan for the Lower Tuolumne River Corridor
1999-9:	Habitat Restoration Plan for the Lower Tuolumne River Corridor
1999-10:	1998 Restoration Project Monitoring Report
1999-11:	1999 Restoration Project Monitoring Report
2001-7:	Adaptive Management Forum Report
2004-12:	Coarse Sediment Management Plan
2004-13:	Tuolumne River Floodway Restoration (Design Manual)
2005 Ten-Year S	Summary Report Appdx. D: Salmonid Habitat Maps
2005 Ten-Year S	Summary Report Appdx. F: GIS Mapping Products
2005-7:	Bobcat Flat/River Mile 43: Phase 1 Project Completion Report
2006-8:	Special Run Pool 9 and 7/11 Reach: Post-Project Monitoring Synthesis Report
2006-10:	Tuolumne River La Grange Gravel Addition, Phase II Annual Report
2006-11:	Tuolumne River La Grange Gravel Addition, Phase II Geomorphic Monitoring Report

General Monitoring Information

1992 Fisheries Studies Report
2002-10: 2001-2002 Annual CDFG Sportfish Restoration Report
2005 Ten-Year Summary Report

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Exhibits

- 1. Spawning run estimates
- 2. Ocean catch and harvest rate data
- 3. 2007 Basin flow and salmon rearing/outmigration data
- 4. Delta export and salmon salvage data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Exhibit 1 – Spawning run estimates



San Joaquin River Tributaries Fall-run Salmon Estimates - Hatcheries are on Merced and Mokelumne (Mokelumne is an Eastside Delta tributary)





Other Fall-run Salmon Rivers in Central Valley (all but Yuba River have major hatcheries)

Combined Natural Spawning and Hatchery Total Since 1986





Exhibit 2 – Ocean catch and harvest rate data

California Chinook Landings: Commercial Troll Harvest and Sport Catch







Central Valley Chinook Salmon Abundance Index: River and Ocean Totals





Exhibit 3 – Basin flow and salmon rearing/outmigration data















Jan-Jun 2007 Mossdale Kodiak trawl individual daily forklengths of juvenile Chinook salmon

OBSERVED CHINOOK SALVAGE AT THE SWP & CVP DELTA FISH FACILITIES 8/1/06 THROUGH 7/31/07



Note: "Delta Model" size/date categories are not necessarily representative of San Joaquin salmon

Exhibit 4 – Delta export and salmon salvage data Weekly salvage and export data for Jan-Jun 2006

	R PROJE	ст					SWP	SWP	CVP&SWF
week ending	.N FROJE						Expanded	Combined	average
date	Total chin	ook salvage		Combined	Ave. cfs	Acre ft.	salvage /	salvage & loss	
		Exp.Salvage	Est. Loss	salvage & loss		Export	1000 ac.ft.	per 1000 ac.ft.	(cfs)
7-Jan-2007	1	2	9	11	4,739	65,782	0.0	0.2	9,045
14-Jan-2007	2	9	39	48	5,323	73,895	0.1	0.6	9,671
21-Jan-2007	0	0	0	0	1,901	26,386	0.0	0.0	6,272
28-Jan-2007	1	4	17	21	2,257	31,331	0.1	0.7	6,602
4-Feb-2007	0	0	0	0	2,340	32,476	0.0	0.0	6,695
11-Feb-2007	0	0	0	0	2,559	35,518	0.0	0.0	6,946
18-Feb-2007	0	0	0	0	2,433	33,776	0.0	0.0	6,768
25-Feb-2007	19	41	175	216	2,710	37,619	1.1	5.7	7,081
4-Mar-2007	35	91	398	489	2,597	36,053	2.5	13.6	6,917
11-Mar-2007	58	158	939	1,097	3,219	44,685	3.5	24.5	7,638
18-Mar-2007	4	16	70	86	2,631	36,525	0.4	2.4	7,121
25-Mar-2007	6	18	78	96	3,009	41,774	0.4	2.3	7,016
1-Apr-2007	15	53	225	278	3,179	44,126	1.2	6.3	6,072
8-Apr-2007	59	182	772	954	2,801	38,881	4.7	24.5	6,383
15-Apr-2007	22	51	216	267	2,177	30,215	1.7	8.8	5,750
22-Apr-2007	211	661	2762	3,423	2,461	34,155	19.4	100.2	5,541
29-Apr-2007	29	75	323	398	690	9,584	7.8	41.5	1,529
6-May-2007	41	130	562	692	690	9,580	13.6	72.2	1,498
13-May-2007	9	28	119	147	614	8,517	3.3	17.3	1,464
20-May-2007	17	44	199	243	374	4,455	9.9	54.5	1,226
27-May-2007	3	7	33	40	382	4,550	1.5	8.8	1,233
3-Jun-2007	22	42	197	239	173	2,403	17.5	99.4	1,027
10-Jun-2007	0	0	0	0	13	178	0.0	0.0	862
17-Jun-2007	1	3	15.45	18	149	2,074	1.4	8.9	2,161
24-Jun-2007	0	0	0	0	765	10,619	0.0	0.0	4,456
1-Ju1-2007	0	0	0	0	1,394	19,350	0.0	0.0	5,638
Tot&avg VAMP	555 96	1,615 277	7,148	8,763 1,480	1, 98 4 592	714 <i>,</i> 507 32,136	3.5 9	18.9 46	5,100 1,429
CENTRAL VA		0.IFCT					CVP Expanded	CVP	Vernalis
CENTRAL VA				Combined	Ave. cfs	Acre ft.	Expanded	Combined	Vernalis flow
week ending	Total chin	ook salvage	Est Loss	Combined	Ave. cfs Export	Acre ft. Export	Expanded salvage/	Combined salvage & loss	flow
week ending date	Total chin Observed	ook salvage Expanded	Est. Loss 16	salvage & loss	Export	Export	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft.	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft.	flow (cfs)
week ending date 7-Jan-2007	Total chine Observed 2	ook salvage Expanded 24	16	salvage & loss 40	Export 4,306	Export 59,768	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7	flow (cfs) 2,506
week ending date	Total chin Observed	ook salvage Expanded		salvage & loss	Export	Export	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft.	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft.	flow (cfs)
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007	Total chine Observed 2 2	ook salvage Expanded 24 24	16 16	salvage & loss 40 40	Export 4,306 4,347	Export 59,768 60,345	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.4	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.7	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007	Total chine Observed 2 2 1	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12	16 16 8	salvage & loss 40 40 20	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.4 0.2	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.7 0.3	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007	Total chin Observed 2 2 1 4	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48	16 16 8 32	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.8	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.7 0.3 1.3	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007	Total chine Observed 2 2 1 4 4	Expanded 24 24 12 48 48	16 16 8 32 42	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007	Total chine Observed 2 1 4 4 5	ook salvage Expanded 24 12 48 48 48 60	16 16 8 32 42 39	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132	16 16 8 32 42 39 85	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007	Total chin. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,356 2,621 2,393
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007	Total chin. Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 217 395 1,385 820 317 185	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 1-Apr-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,896 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 8-Apr-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 2,876 2,345 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 2-Mar-2007 8-Apr-2007 15-Apr-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 76 125 274 861	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,400 4,400 2,894 3,582 3,573	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,164 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 8-Apr-2007 15-Apr-2007 22-Apr-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 76 125 274 861 908	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,437 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 8-Apr-2007 22-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,471 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,407 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,345 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 8-Apr-2007 22-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 6-May-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,471 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 5-Apr-2007 22-Apr-2007 6-May-2007 13-May-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1	Expanded 24 24 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 12	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,400 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,103
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 6-May-2007 13-May-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 5	Expanded 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 12 51	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 2,300 121 179 22 93	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,400 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,696 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 533.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,103 3,291
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 6-May-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 15 16	Expanded 24 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 66 96 12 51 192	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349	Export 4,306 4,347 4,371 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 808 850 851	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,202 3,216 3,103 3,291 2,843
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 23-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 15-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 6-May-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007 27-May-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 12 116 6 8 1 5 16 18	Expanded 24 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 12 51 192 216	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157 177.4	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349 393	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852 851 854	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,164 60,164 60,164 60,164 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120 11,848	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0 18.2	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5 33.2	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,355 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,202 3,216 3,103 3,291 2,843 2,527
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007 3-Jun-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 12 116 6 8 1 5 16 18 15	Expanded 24 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 51 192 216 180	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157 177.4 150.71	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 97 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349 393 331	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,007 2,894 3,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852 851 854 849	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,164 60,72 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120 11,848 11,787	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0 18.2 15.3	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5 33.2 28.1	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,356 2,356 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,291 2,843 2,527 2,161
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 18-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 4-Mar-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 13-May-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007 3-Jun-2007 10-Jun-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 5 16 18 15 8	Expanded 24 24 24 12 48 40 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 51 192 216 180 96	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157 177.4 150.71 72.36	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 97 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349 393 331 168	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,3582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852 851 854 849 2,012	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120 11,848 11,787 27,923	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0 18.2 15.3 3.4	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5 33.2 28.1 6.0	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,103 3,291 2,843 2,527 2,161 2,036
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007 27-May-2007 3-Jun-2007 10-Jun-2007 24-Jun-2007	Total chim. Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 5 16 18 15 8 0	ook salvage Expanded 24 24 12 48 60 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 12 51 192 216 180 96 0	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157 177.4 150.71 72.36 0	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 99 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349 393 331 168 0	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,334 4,334 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 8,582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852 851 854 854 849 2,012 3,691	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120 11,848 11,787 27,923 51,235	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0 18.2 15.3 3.4 0.0	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5 33.2 28.1 6.0 0.0	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,103 3,291 2,843 2,527 2,161 2,036 1,627
week ending date 7-Jan-2007 14-Jan-2007 21-Jan-2007 28-Jan-2007 4-Feb-2007 11-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 25-Feb-2007 11-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 18-Mar-2007 25-Mar-2007 29-Apr-2007 29-Apr-2007 13-May-2007 13-May-2007 20-May-2007 20-May-2007 3-Jun-2007 10-Jun-2007	Total chim Observed 2 1 4 5 11 20 70 42 16 10 14 35 112 116 6 8 1 5 16 18 15 8	Expanded 24 24 24 12 48 40 132 240 840 504 192 109 168 400 1344 1392 66 96 51 192 216 180 96	16 16 8 32 42 39 85 155 545 316 125 76 125 274 861 908 55 83 10 42 157 177.4 150.71 72.36	salvage & loss 40 40 20 80 90 97 217 395 1,385 820 317 185 293 674 2,205 2,300 121 179 22 93 349 393 331 168	Export 4,306 4,347 4,345 4,356 4,387 4,334 4,371 4,320 4,419 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,490 4,3582 3,573 3,080 838 808 850 852 851 854 849 2,012	Export 59,768 60,345 60,674 60,311 60,460 60,896 60,164 60,672 59,966 61,342 62,326 55,619 40,166 49,716 49,599 42,759 11,636 11,210 11,801 11,821 10,120 11,848 11,787 27,923	Expanded salvage/ 1000 ac.ft. 0.4 0.2 0.8 0.8 1.0 2.2 4.0 14.0 8.2 3.1 2.0 4.2 8.0 27.1 32.6 5.7 8.6 1.0 4.3 19.0 18.2 15.3 3.4	Combined salvage & loss per 1000 ac.ft. 0.7 0.3 1.3 1.5 1.6 3.6 6.5 23.1 13.4 5.1 3.3 7.3 13.6 44.5 53.8 10.4 16.0 1.9 7.9 34.5 33.2 28.1 6.0	flow (cfs) 2,506 2,456 2,430 2,444 2,365 2,356 2,621 2,393 3,002 2,876 2,345 2,112 1,923 1,681 1,665 2,024 3,382 3,216 3,103 3,291 2,843 2,527 2,161 2,036










Attachment -A-

Water, Flows, Temperature, and Flow Schedule Correspondence

- 1. Graphs of flows, FERC flow schedule, reservoir status, and precipitation data
 - 2007/2008 Water Years (Oct-Sep) daily average computed natural flow, actual flow, and FERC flow schedule at La Grange
 - 2007/2008 Water Years actual flow: Tuolumne at Modesto, Stanislaus at Ripon, Merced at Cressey, San Joaquin at Stevinson and at Vernalis
 - > 2007/2008 Water Years Don Pedro Reservoir storage
 - 2007/2008 Precipitation Years (Sep-Aug) watershed precipitation index and snow sensor water content index as percent of average
- 2. Graphs of water temperature and air temperature (WY 2007 is in Report 2007-6)
 - Water Year 2008 daily average water temperature for Tuolumne and San Joaquin Rivers
 - ➢ Modesto air temperature for Water Year 2008
- 3. Flow schedule correspondence for 2007
 - > 18Apr Initial 2007-2008 fish flow year schedule for 15Apr-31May period
 - ➤ 31May Interim flow schedule starting June 1
 - ➤ 11Sep Final flow schedule
 - O6Dec2007 Review of 2007 fall flows, including Article 38 45-day period, and update of water year classification





8000 7000 6000 DAILY AVERAGE CFS 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 NAWN Y WAXSAAN IVV T 0 10/1 11/1 12/1 1/1 2/1 3/1 4/1 5/1 6/1 7/1 8/1 9/1 DATES COMPUTED NATURAL FLOW ACTUAL FLOW AT LA GRANGE

TUOLUMNE RIVER DAILY AVERAGE FLOW WATER YEAR 2007 BASED ON USGS PROVISIONAL DATA



TUOLUMNE RIVER DAILY AVERAGE FLOW WATER YEAR 2008 BASED ON USGS PROVISIONAL DATA



FERC FLOW SCHEDULE -----ACTUAL FLOW AT LA GRANGE













2. Graphs of water temperature and air temperature (WY 2007 is in Report 2007-6)





← RFB (RM 39.6) ─ Ruddy (RM 36.7) ← Hughson (RM 23.6) → Shiloh (RM 3.4)

San Joaquin River daily average water temperature







TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST CANAL DRIVE POST OFFICE BOX 949 TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95381 (209) 883-8300

April 18, 2007 (via e-mail)

Mr. Dean Marston California Dept. of Fish and Game 1234 E. Shaw Ave. Fresno, CA 93710 Ms. Deborah Giglio U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: Tuolumne River 2007-2008 FERC Article 37 Flow Schedule for P-2299

Dear Fishery Agency representatives:

In a letter dated January 11, 2007, and pursuant to the 1996 FERC Order, Amended Article 37, I provided to you an updated Water Year Classification Index for determining the volume of scheduled stream flows for the fish flow year based on the San Joaquin Basin 60-20-20 Index.

As you know, the 2007 Water Year is likely to be the driest year since the 1996 FERC Order. The DWR April 1, 2007 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index forecasts were 2,133,183 for 50% exceedence and 1,827,183 for 90% exceedence. The forecasts had dropped to 2,043,183 for 50% exceedence and 1,773,183 for 90% exceedence in the DWR April 10 update. Those latest indices correspond to annual volumes of 119,360 AF (including 32,619 AF outmigration pulse flow) and 110,919 AF (including 20,091 AF outmigration pulse flow) respectively, with additional water based on interpolation above the applicable basin index threshold.

It has been determined that the 2007 Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan (VAMP) is scheduled to begin on April 22, meaning increased La Grange flows must be scheduled to start by April 20 as two days are needed for those flows to reach Vernalis in the San Joaquin River. The Districts have been coordinating a daily flow schedule with your agencies in the VAMP process for the spring pulse flow period. Attached is the Tuolumne River flow schedule for the April 15-May 31 period, utilizing 22,564 AF in spring pulse flow due to the overall dry trend. Later DWR forecast updates will be used to determine the summer flow level starting in June, which appears now to be in the 50-75 cfs range. The annual fish flow year volume will not be available until August after the basin index is finalized.

If you have any questions, please contact Wes Monier at 209-883-8321.

Sincerely,

Assistant General Manager Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Administration



Don Pedro Dam and Powerhouse C: Larry Weis - TID Allen Short - MID Phylis Posey – FERC Secretary

.

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

TABLE 1

Tuolumne River Flow Schedule

SCHEDULE FOR 2007 - 2008 Fish Flow Year

			BASE	FLOW		[PL	ILSE FI	.OW	ADD	TIONAL		-	TOTAL	FERC FLOW
D/	ATE	Number of			ACCUM.				ACCUM.			ACCUM.			ACCUM.
From:	To:	DAYS	CFS	AF	A,F.	L	CFS	AF	A.F.	CFS	AF	A.F.		CFS	A.F.
15-Apr-2007	15-Apr-2007	I I	150	298	298		100	198	198	0	0	0		250	496
16-Apr-2007	16-Apr-2007	1	150	298	595		100	198	397	0	0	0		250	992
17-Apr-2007	17-Apr-2007	1	150	298	893		100	198	595	0	0	0		250	1,488
18-Apr-2007	18-Apr-2007	L	150	298	1,190		100	198	793	0	0	0		250	1,983
19-Apr-2007	19-Apr-2007	i	150	298	1,488		100	198	992	0	0	0		250	2,479
20-Apr-2007	20-Apr-2007	3	150	298	1,785	E	392	778	1,770	56	111	111		599	3,667
21-Apr-2007	21-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,083	[392	778	2,548	56	111	223		599	4,854
22-Apr-2007	22-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,380	f	392	778	3,327	56	111	334		599	6,041
23-Apr-2007	23-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,678	[392	778	4,105	56	111	446		599	7,229
24-Apr-2007	24-Apr-2007	I I	150	298	2,975	[392	778	4,883	56	111	557		599	8,416
25-Apr-2007	25-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,273	ſ	392	778	5,662	56	111	669		599	9,603
26-Apr-2007	26-Apr-2007	L I	150	298	3,570	[392	778	6,440	56	111	780	Ĩ	599	10,791
27-Apr-2007	27-Apr-2007		150	298	3,868	Ī	392	778	7,218	56	111	892		599	11,978
28-Apr-2007	28-Apr-2007	[150	298	4,165	[392	778	7,997	56	111	1003		599	13,165
29-Apr-2007	29-Apr-2007	1	150	298	4,463	ſ	285	566	8,563	41	81	1084		476	14,110
30-Apr-2007	30-Apr-2007	ł	150	298	4,760	[196	389	8,952	28	56	1140		374	14,853
01~May~2007	01-May-2007	I	150	298	5,058	ſ	107	212	9,164	15	30	1171		272	15,393
02-May-2007	02-May-2007	1	150	298	5.355		107	212	9,377	15	30	1201		272	15,933
03-May-2007	03-May-2007	ŀ	150	298	5,653	[107	212	9,589	15	30	1231	[272	16,473
04-May-2007	04-May-2007	1	150	298	5,950	[107	212	9,801	15	30	1262	[272	17,013
05-May-2007	05-May-2007	1	150	298	6,248	· [107	212	10,013	15	30	1292		272	17,554
06-May-2007	Об-Мау-2007	ł	150	298	6,545	[107	212	10,226	15	30	1323		272	18,094
07-May-2007	07-May-2007	ł	150	298	6,843	[107	212	10,438	15	30	1353	[272	18,634
08-May-2007	08-May-2007		150	298	7,140	[107	212	10,650	15	30	1383		272	19,174
09-May-2007	09-May-2007	l l	150	298	7,438	[107	212	10,863	15	30	1414		272	19,714
10-May-2007	10-May-2007		150	298	7.736		107	212	11,075	15	30	1444		272	20,255
11-May-2007	11-May-2007	1	150	298	8,033		196	389	11,464	28	56	1500		374	20,997
12-May-2007	12-May-2007	ŀ	150	298	8,331		285	566	12,030	41	81	1581		476	21,942
13-May-2007	13-May-2007	I I	150	298	8,628		392	778	12,808	56	111	1693		599	23,129
14-May-2007	14-May-2007	L I	150	298	8,926		392	778	13,587	56	111	1804		599	24,316
15-May-2007	15-May-2007	1	150	298	9,223	ļ	392	778	14,365	56	111	1916		599	25,504
16-May-2007	16-May-2007	1	150	298	9,521		392	778	15,143	56	111	2027		599	26,691
17-May-2007	17-May-2007	1	150	298	9,818	ļ	392	778	15,922	56	111	2139		599	27,878
18-May-2007	18-May-2007	1	150	298	10,116	ļ	392	778	16,700	56		2250		599	29,066
19-May-2007	19-May-2007	1	150	298	10,413	ļ	392	778	17,478	56		2362		599	30,253
20-May-2007	20-May-2007	1	150	298	10,711	ļ	392	778	18,257	56	111	2473		599	31,440
21-May-2007	21-May-2007	1	150	298	11.008		400	793	19,050	0	0	2,473		550	32,531
22-May-2007	22-May-2007	1	150	298	11,306		275	545	19,596	0	0	2,473		425	33,374
23-May-2007	23-May-2007	1	150	298	11,603	-	175	347	19,943	0	0	2,473		325	34,019
24-May-2007	24-May-2007	1	150	298	11,901		75	149	20,091	0	0	2,473		225	34,465
25-May-2007	25-May-2007	1	150	298	12,198		0	0	20,091	0	0	2,473		150	34,763
26-May-2007	26-May-2007	1	150	298	12,496		0	0	20,091	0	0	2,473	╏┟	150	35,060
27-May-2007	27-May-2007	1	150	298	12,793		0	0	20,091	0	0	2,473		150	35,358
28-May-2007	28-May-2007	1	150	298	13.091		0	0	20,091	0	0	2.473		150	35,655
29-May-2007	29-May-2007	1	150	298	13.388		0	0	20,091	0	0	2,473		150	35,953
30-May-2007	30-May-2007	1	135	268	13,656		0	0	20,091	0	0	2,473	╏┝	135	36,221
31-May-2007	31-May-2007	1	120	238	13.894		0	0	20,091	1 01	0	2,473		120	36,459

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST CANAL DRIVE POST OFFICE BOX 949 TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95351 (209) 283-83C0

May 31, 2007 (via e-mail)

Mr. Bill Loudermilk California Dept. of Fish and Game 1234 E. Shaw Ave. Fresno, CA 93710

Ms. Deborah Giglio U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: Tuolumne River 2007-2008 FERC Article 37 Flow Schedule for P-2299

Dear Fishery Agency representatives:

A letter dated April 18, 2007, and pursuant to the 1996 FERC Order, Amended Article 37, contained the initial flow schedule for the April 15 through May 31 period of the current Fish Flow Year, encompassing the spring pulse flow period. That schedule was established using the April 10 DWR forecast update for the San Joaquin Basin 60-20-20 Index.

The DWR May 22, 2007 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index forecasts were 2.025183 for 50% exceedence and 1.908183 for 90% exceedence. Those latest indices correspond to annual volumes of 118,339 AF and 114,518 AF respectively, based on interpolation above the applicable basin index threshold.

An interim flow schedule for the remainder of the fish flow year using 117,335 AF (attached) was provided to you by e-mail from Wes Monier on May 18 and we will proceed to use that schedule as of June 1. We will see if further schedule adjustments become necessary as the forecasts and runoff continue. The final annual fish flow year volume will not be available until August when the basin index is finalized.

If you have any questions, please contact Wes Monier at 209-883-8321.

Sincerely, (Robert Nees

Assistant General Manager Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Administration

C: Larry Weis - TID Allen Short - MID Phylis Posey – FERC Secretary



SCHEDULE FOR 2007 - 2008 Fish Flow Year

									·			·	
			BASE	FLOW			PULSE F	LOW		DITIONA	L FLOW	ΤΟΤΛΙ	FERC FLOW
DA	ATE	Number of			ACCUM.	1	1	ACCUM.			ACCUM.		ACCUM.
From:	To:	DAYS	CFS	AF	A.F.	CFS	AF	A.F.	CFS	AF	A.F.	CFS	A.F.
15-Apr-2007	15-Apr-2007	1	150	298	298	10	J 198	198		0 0	0	250	496
16-Apr-2007	16-Apr-2007		150	298	595	10) 198	397		0 0	0	250	992
17-Apr-2007	17-Apr-2007	Ī	150	298	893	10	198	595		0 0	0	250	1,488
18-Apr-2007	18-Apr-2007	t	150	298	1,190	10	198	793) 0	0	250	1.983
19-Apr-2007	19-Apr-2007	1	150	298	1.488	10	198	992) 0	0	250	2,479
20-Apr-2007	20-Apr-2007	1	150	298	1,785	39	2 778	1,770	5	111	111	599	3,667
21-Apr-2007	21-Apr-2007	-	150	298	2,083	39	2 778	2,548	5	5 111	223	599	4,854
22-Apr-2007	22-Apr-2007	-	150	298	2,380	39	2 778	3,327	5	5 111	334	599	6,041
23-Apr-2007	23-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,678	39	2 778	4,105	5	5 111	446	599	7,229
24-Apr-2007	24-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,975	39	778	4,883	3	5 111	557	599	8,416
25-Apr-2007	25-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,273	39	778	5,662	5	5 111	669	599	9,603
26-Apr-2007	26-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,570	39	2 778	6,440	5	111	780	599	10,791
27-Apr-2007	27-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,868	39	2 778	7,218	3	111	892	599	11,978
28-Apr-2007	28-Apr-2007	I	150	298	4,165	39	2 778	7,997	5	111	1003	599	13,165
29-Apr-2007	29-Apr-2007		150	298	4,463	28	566	8,563	4	81	1084	476	14,110
30-Apr-2007	30-Apr-2007	1	150	298	4.760	19	389	8,952	2	56	1140	374	14,853
01-May-2007	01-Hay-2007	1	150	298	5,058	10	212	9,164	1	30	1171	272	15,393
02-Hay-2007	02-May-2007	1	150	298	5.355	10	212	9,377	1	30	1201	272	15,933
03-May-2007	03-Hay-2007	1	150	298	5,653	10	212	9,589	- I;		1231	272	16,473
04-May-2007	04-Hay-2007	1	150	298	5,950	10	212	9,801	i:		1262	272	17.013
05-Hay-2007	05-May-2007	1	150	298	6,248	10	212	10,013	l:	30	1292	272	17,554
06-May-2007	06-May-2007	1	150	298	6,545	10	212	10,226	1:	30	1323	272	18,094
07-May-2007	07-Hay-2007	1	150	298	6,843	10	212	10,438	1		1353	272	18,634
08-May-2007	08-May-2007	1	150	298	7,140	10		10.650	1:	30	1383	272	19,174
09-May-2007	09-May-2007	Ì	150	298	7,438	10	212	10,863	1	30	1414	272	19,714
10-May-2007	10-May-2007	1	150	298	7.736	10	212	11.075	1:	30	1444	272	20,255
11-May-2007	11-May-2007	i j	150	298	8,033	10	389	11,464	21	56	1500	374	20,997
12-May-2007	12-Hay-2007	ī	150	298	8,331	28	566	12,030	4	81	1581	476	21,942
13-May-2007	13-Nay-2007	1	150	298	8,628	30		12,808	50	111	1693	599	23,129
14-May-2007	14-May-2007	1	150	298	8,926	39		13,587	50	111	1804	599	24,316
15-Hay-2007	15-Nay-2007	!	150	298	9,223	19		14,365	50		1916	599	25,504
16-Hay-2007	16-Nay-2007	1	150	298	9,521	39		15,143	50	111	2027	599	26,691
17-May-2007	17-Nay-2007		150	298	9,818	39		15,922	50		2139	599	27.878
18-May-2007	18-Hay-2007		150	298	10,116	.39		16,700	50		2250	599	29,066
19-May-2007	19-Hay-2007	!	150	298	10,413	39		17.478	50		2362	599	30,253
20-May-2007	20-Nay-2007	!	130	298	10,711	39		18,257	50		2473	599	31,440
21-May-2007	21-Hay-2007		150	298	11,008	40		19,050		0	2,473	550	32,531
22-May-2007	22-Hay-2007		150	298	11,306	27		19,596		0	2,473	425	33,374
23-May-2007	23-Nay-2007	!	150	298	11,603	17.		19,943			2,473	325	34,019
24-Hay-2007	24-Hay-2007	!	150	298	11.901	7		20,091		0	2,473	225	34,465
25-May-2007 26-May-2007	25-Nay-2007	<u></u>	150	298	12,198			20,091		0	2,473	150	34,763
27-Hay-2007	26-May-2007 27-Nay-2007		150	298 298	12,496			20,091			2,473	150	35,060
28-May-2007	28-Nay-2007		150	298	12,793		0	20,091		0	2,473	150	35,358
29-Hay-2007	29-Hay-2007		150	298	13,091		0	20,091		0	2,473	150	35,655
30-Hay-2007	30-Nay-2007		130	268	13,388			20,091			2,473	150	35,953
31-Hay-2007	31-Hay-2007		1.55	198	13,855			20.091			2,473	135	36,221
01-Jun-2007	01-Jun-2007		80	159	14.013			20.091			2.513	120	36,459
02-Jun-2007	02-Jun-2007		75	149	14,162			20,091			2,502	105	36,667
03-Jun-2007	03-Jun-2007		60	119	14.281			20.091			2,602	95	36.855
04-Jun-2007	04-Jun-2007		50		14,380			20,091			2,871	95	37,044
05-Jun-2007	30-Jun-2007	26	50	2,579	16,959			20,091			5.081	95	42,131
01-Ju1-2007	31-Ju1-2007	31	50	3,074	20,033			20,091			7.848	95	47,973
01-Aug-2007	31-Aug-2007	31	50	3.074	23,107			20,091			10.615	95	53,814
01-Sep-2007	30-Sep-2007	30	50	2,975	25,107			20.091			13,293	95	53,814
01-0ct-2007	13-0ct-2007	13	100	2,579	28,661			20,091	25		13,293	125	62.690
14-Oct-2007	15-Oct-2007	2	101	397	29,058			20,091	- 35		14,037	125	63,186
16-0ct-2007	26-OcL-2007	11	150	3.273	32,331			20,091			14.037	123	
27-Oct-2007	28-Oct-2007	2	150	595	32,926			20,091			14,037	150	66,459
29-Oct-2007	29-Oct-2007		150	298	33,223			20,091			14.037	150	
30-Oct-2007	30-0ct-2007		150	298	33.521			20,091			14.037	150	67.351
31-Oct-2007	31-Oct-2007		150	298	33.818		_	20,091			14,037	150	
01-Nov-2007	16-Nov-2007	16	150	4,760	38,579			20,091			14.037		67,946
17-Nov-2007	30-Nov-2007	14	150	4,165	42,744			20.091			14,037	150	72,707
01-Dec-2007	31-Dec-2007	31	150	9,223	51,967			20.091			14,037	150	76,872
01-Jan-2008	31-Jan-2008	31	150	9.223	61,190			20,091	0		14.037		86.095
01-Feb-2008	29-Feb-2008	29	150	8,628	69,818			20,091				150	95.318
01-Mar-2008	31-Mar-2008	31	150	9,223	79.041			20,091			14,037	150	103,946
01-Apr-2008	14-Apr-2008	14	150	4,165	83,207						14,037	150	113.169
No. of days	11 APL-2008		April 15 through Apr		02,207	1 1	1 0	*0'031	0	0	14,037	150	117.335
. 10, 01 0474		500 (when is unough ybe	11 14)									

I cfs day = 1.983471 acre-feet (af)
Nites: I. Basel on 64-20-20 links is 2143,183
July 31, 1996 FERC Order Flow Interpolated as 119,360 AF fish flow year requirement.
The pulse flows are a target that represents a daily average.

.

-

١

5/31/2007

.

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRIC 333 EAST CANAL DRIVE POST OFFICE BOX 949 TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95381 (209) 883-8300

September 11, 2007 (via e-mail)

Mr. Bill Loudermilk California Dept. of Fish and Game 1234 E. Shaw Ave. Fresno, CA 93710

Ms. Deborah Giglio U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825 Don Pedro Dam and Powerhouse

Bruce Oppenheim National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300 Sacramento, CA 95814-4708

RE: Tuolumne River 2007-2008 FERC Article 37 Flow Schedule for P-2299

Dear Fishery Agency representatives:

A letter, dated May 31, 2007, attached for your reference, contained a revised flow schedule for the current Fish Flow Year based on the May 22 Department of Water Resources (DWR) forecast update for the San Joaquin Basin 60-20-20 Index. That interim schedule used 117,335 acre-feet of annual volume.

As you know, the final 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index is not available until August of each year. Therefore, all flows prior to August are based upon index estimates when the 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index is below average. TID notified the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) on July 26 that the estimated final 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index would result in a reduction of an approximate 1,500 acre-feet in the annual volume and a revised schedule was proposed. A similar notification and schedule was also provided on July 31 and another notification and request for input was sent by TID on August 1. TID provided the fishery agencies with notification on August 13 of the final annual flow volume of 115,836 acrefeet based on the final 2007 60-20-20 San Joaquin Basin Index of 1,957,604 (Median Critical Year) using DWR runoff data through July.

CDFG, FWS, and NMFS staff have been in communication about the flow schedule with Mr. Monier at TID and, based on that communication, the flow schedule which started June 1st has remained in place. NMFS requested the existing rate of flow continue, with FWS deferring to NMFS (confirmed on August 9th). It is our understanding that CDFG requested that any flows above the base flow be moved to outside of the summer period.

Based on the lower final index, a revised flow schedule using the 115,836 AF was provided for comment, by e-mail, from Mr. Monier on August 29. Mr. Monier also indicated in the email that winter flows could be further adjusted to provide a fall pulse flow, should the fishery agencies



desire to do so. In transmitting the proposed modifications, Mr. Monier indicated that if no comments were received, the proposed schedule would be implemented. NMFS has agreed by phone today with the revised schedule (attached). In addition the NMFS is in favor of developing a fall pulse flow by adjusting the base flows after October 15. NMFS will discuss the concept with the FWS and CDFG. No additional comments were received from CDFG, so that proposed schedule (Table 2 attached) is now in effect. Note that the only changes from the prior schedule in effect since the beginning of June, are 76 cfs (instead of 95 cfs) for the September 11-30 period and 100 cfs (instead of 125 cfs) for the period of October 1-15 to adjust to the lower annual volume.

If you have any questions, please contact Wes Monier at 209-883-8321.

Sincerely,

Rod C Bg

Randy C. Baysinger, P.E. Assistant General Manager Power Supply Administration

C: Larry Weis - TID Allen Short - MID FERC Secretary Stacy Li – NMFS

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

TABLE 2

Tuolumne River Flow Schedule SCHEDULE FOR 2007 - 2008 Fish Flow Year

								Flow fo	r Median Či	itica	1				
		Γ	F	ow				nigratio		IINA		ar Adjuste	d Flow	Total	FERC Flow
	ATE	Number of			ACCUM.	1 1			ACCUM.				ACCUM.		ACCUM.
From:	To:	DAYS	CFS	AF	A.F.	łł	CFS	AF	A.F.		CFS	AF	A.F.	CFS	A.F.
15-Apr-2007	15-Apr-2007	<u> </u>	150	298	298	4 4	100	198	198		0	0	0	250	496
16-Apr-2007 17-Apr-2007	16-Apr-2007 17-Apr-2007		150	298 298	595 893	{ }	100	198 198	397 595		0	0	0	250	1,488
18-Apr-2007	18-Apr-2007		150	298	1,190	1	100	198	793		- o	0	0	250	1,983
19-Apr-2007	19-Apr-2007	1	150	298	1,488	1 1	100	198	992		Ö	0	0	250	2,479
20-Apr-2007	20-Apr-2007	1	150	298	1,785	11	392	778	1,770		56	111	111	599	3,667
21-Apr-2007	21-Apr-2007	i	150	298	2,083] [392	778	2,548		56	111	223	599	4,854
22-Apr-2007	22-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,380		392	778	3,327		56	111	334	599	6,041
23-Apr-2007 24-Apr-2007	23-Apr-2007	1	150	298 298	2,678		392 392	778 778	4,105		<u>56</u> 56	111	446 557	599 599	7,229
25-Apr-2007	24-Apr-2007 25-Apr-2007		150	298	3,273	┥┝	392	778	4,883 5,662		56	111	669	599	8,416 9,603
26-Apr-2007	26-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,570		392	778	6,440		56	111	780	599	10,791
27-Apr-2007	27-Apr-2007	1	150	298	3,868	1	392	778	7,218		56	111	892	599	11,978
28-Apr-2007	28-Apr-2007	1	150	298	4,165] [392	778	7,997		56	111	1003	599	13,165
29-Apr-2007	29-Apr-2007	. 1	150	298	4,463		285	566	8,563		41	81	1084	476	14,110
30-Apr-2007	30-Apr-2007	1	150	298	4,760		196	389	8,952		28	56	1140	374	14,853
01-May-2007 02-May-2007	01-May-2007 02-May-2007	1	150	298 298	5,058 5,355	┥┝	107 107	212	9,164 9,377		15 15	30 30	1171 1201	272	15,393
03-May-2007	02-May-2007	1	150	298	5,653	{	107	212	9,577		15	30	1201	272	15,933 16,473
04-May-2007	04-May-2007	1	150	298	5,950	1 1	107	212	9,801		15	30	1262	272	17,013
05-May-2007	05-May-2007	1	150	298	6,248	jł	107	212	10,013		15	30	1292	272	17,554
06-May-2007	06-May-2007	1	150	298	6,545] [107	212	10,226		15	30	1323	272	18,094
07-May-2007	07-May-2007	1	150	298	6,843		107	212	10,438		15	30	1353	272	18,634
08-May-2007	08-May-2007	1	150	298	7,140		107	212	10,650		15	30	1383	272	19,174
09-May-2007 10-May-2007	09-May-2007 10-May-2007	1	150	298 298	7,438	╡┝	107	212	10,863 11,075		15 15	30 30	1414 1444	272	19,714 20,255
11-May-2007	11-May-2007	1	150	298	8,033	1	196	389	11,464		28	56	1500	374	20,233
12-May-2007	12-May-2007	1	150	298	8,331	1 1	285	566	12,030		41	81	1581	476	21,942
13-May-2007	13-May-2007	1	150	298	8,628	l t	392	778	12,808		56	111	1693	599	23,129
14-May-2007	14-May-2007	1	150	298	8,926	[392	778	13,587		56	111	1804	599	24,316
15-May-2007	15-May-2007	1	150	298	9,223		392	778	14,365		56	111	1916	599	25,504
16-May-2007	16-May-2007	1	150	298 298	9,521		392	778	15,143		56	- 111	2027	599 599	26,691
17-May-2007 18-May-2007	17-May-2007 18-May-2007	1	150	298	9,818 10,116	╡	392 392	778 778	15,922		56 56	<u> </u>	2139 2250	599	27,878 29,066
19-May-2007	19-May-2007	- í	150	298	10,413		392	778	17,478		56		2362	599	30,253
20-May-2007	20-May-2007	1	150	298	10,711	l t	392	778	18,257		56	111	2473	599	31,440
21-May-2007	21-May-2007	1	150	298	11,008		400	793	19,050		0	0	2,473	550	32,531
22-May-2007	22-May-2007	1	150	298	11,306		275	545	19,596		0	0	2,473	425	33,374
23-May-2007	23-May-2007	1	150	298 298	11,603		175 75	347 149	19,943		0	0	2,473	325	34,019
24-May-2007 25-May-2007	24-May-2007 25-May-2007	1	150	298	11,901 12,198		- /3	0	20,091 20,091		0	0	2,473 2,473	225	34,465 34,763
26-May-2007	26-May-2007	1	150	298	12,496		Ő	Ő	20,091		0	0	2,473	150	35,060
27-May-2007	27-May-2007	1	150	298	12,793	1 1	0	0	20,091		0	0	2,473	150	35,358
28-May-2007	28-May-2007	1	150	298	13,091		0	0	20,091		0	0	2,473	150	35,655
29-May-2007	29-May-2007		150	298	13,388		0	0	20,091		0	0	2,473	150	35,953
30-May-2007	30-May-2007	1	150	298	13,686	╏┝	0	0	20,091		-15	(30)	2,443	135	36,221
31-May-2007 01-Jun-2007	31-May-2007 01-Jun-2007	I	150	298 99	13,983 14,083		0	0	20,091 20,091		-30 55	(60)	2,384 2,493	120	36,459 36,667
02-Jun-2007	02-Jun-2007	1	50	99	14,083		0	0	20,091		45	89	2,493	95	36,855
03-Jun-2007	03-Jun-2007	i	50	99	14,281		0	0	20,091		45	89	2,671	95	37,044
04-Jun-2007	04-Jun-2007	1	50	99	14,380		0	0	20,091		45	89	2,761	95	37,232
05-Jun-2007	30-Jun-2007	26	50	2,579	16,959	ļ	0	0	20,091		45	2,321	5,081	95	42,131
01-Jul-2007	31-Ju1-2007	31	50	3,074	20.033	╏┝	0	0	20,091		45	2,767	7,848	95	47,973
01-Aug-2007 01-Sep-2007	31-Aug-2007 10-Sep-2007	31 10	50 50	3,074	23,107 24,099		0	0	20,091 20,091		45 45	2,767 893	10,615	95 95	53,814 55,698
11-Sep-2007	13-Sep-2007	3	50	298	24,099	∣⊦	0		20,091		45 26	155	11,508	93 76	56,151
14-Sep-2007	30-Sep-2007	17	50	1,686	26,083		0	0	20,091		26	877	12,539	76	58,713
01-Oct-2007	13-Oct-2007	13	100	2,579	28,661		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	100	61,292
14-Oct-2007	15-Oct-2007	2	100	397	29,058		0	0	20,091		Ö	0	12,539	100	61,688
16-Oct-2007	26-Oct-2007	11	150	3,273	32,331	ון	0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	64,961
27-Oct-2007	28-Oct-2007	2	150	595	32,926		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	65,556
29-Oct-2007 30-Oct-2007	29-Oct-2007 30-Oct-2007	1	150	298 298	33,223 33,521	╞╴╞	0	0	20,091		0	0 Ö	12,539	150	65,854
31-Oct-2007	31-Oct-2007	1	150	298	33,818		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	66,151 66,449
01-Nov-2007	16-Nov-2007	16	150	4,760	38,579	∣ ⊦	0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	71,209
17-Nov-2007	30-Nov-2007	14	150	4,165	42,744		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	75,374
01-Dec-2007	31-Dec-2007	31	150	9,223	51,967		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	84,598
01-Jan-2008	31-Jan-2008	31	150	9,223	61,190	[0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	93,821
01-Feb-2008	29-Feb-2008	29	150	8,628	69,818		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	102,449
01-Mar-2008 01-Apr-2008	31-Mar-2008 14-Apr-2008	31	150	9,223	79,041		0	0	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	111,672
No. of days	14-Apr-2008		150 (April 15 through Ap	4,165 ril 14)	83,207	ιL	0	v	20,091		0	0	12,539	150	115,837
1.0. 01 0030		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	V. Sur is mongh wh												

July 31, 1996 FERC Order Flow Interpolated as 115,836 AF fish flow year requirement.

l cfs day = 1.983471 acre-feet (af) Notes: 1. Based on 60-20-20 index is 1,957.604 July 31, 1996 l 2. The pulse flows are a target that represents a daily average.

2008



TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT 333 EAST CANAL DRIVE POST OFFICE BOX 949 TURLOCK, CALIFORNIA 95381 (209) 883-8300

December 6, 2007

Tim Heyne California Dept. of Fish and Game P.O. Box 10 La Grange, CA 95329

Bruce Oppenheim National Marine Fisheries Service 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 8-300 Sacramento, CA 95814-4708 Deborah Giglio U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825

RE: Project 2299 - Water Year Classification Index, Article 38 45-Day Period, and Fall Pulse Flow

Dear Fishery Agency representatives:

The 1996 FERC Order, Amended Article 37, contained a Water Year Classification Index for determining the volume of scheduled stream flows for each fish flow year. The classifications were based on the San Joaquin Basin 60-20-20 Indices for water years 1906-1995. The order stated, "60-20-20 index numbers used each year shall be updated to incorporate subsequent water years pursuant to standard Water Resources Department procedures so as to maintain approximately the same frequency distribution of water year types." The index is now updated to incorporate water years through 2007 (Table 1). While the frequency distribution remains the same, some index numbers may change slightly with each annual update to maintain the frequency distribution.

The Article 38 '45-Day Period' in fall 2007 began October 17 and ended November 30, as has been the standard practice for many years since being established by the California Department of Fish and Game as the default period. In accordance with Article 38, reduction in river height between the end of the 45-day period and March 31 shall not exceed four inches (0.33 feet) below the average height established during the 45-day period (as measured at Old La Grange Bridge). Using provisional daily flow data from the USGS gage at La Grange, the calculated average flow was 170.6 cfs for the 2007 45-day period, which corresponds to a river height of 169.60 feet at the Old La Grange Bridge based on the USGS 1996 rating table. A gage elevation of 169.27 feet is 4 inches below that average and corresponds to 76.7 cfs as shown on Table 2. The current minimum flow requirement exceeds 76.7 cfs through March 31 as the present schedule is 150 cfs through April 14, so there is no effect on minimum flow requirements resulting from Article 38.



There is no fall pulse flow allocation required in the current 2007-2008 fish flow year type (Median Critical) per Amended Article 37. There was also none requested by the fishery agencies following our last flow schedule letter of September 11, 2007.

If you have any questions, please contact Wes Monier at 209-883-8321.

Sincerely, Robert Nees

Assistant General Manager Water Resources and Regulatory Affairs Administration

C: Larry Weis - TID Allen Short - MID FERC Secretary

Cumulative Occurrance Settlement Agreement 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1995 1000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2001 2002 2003 2004 2001 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2001 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2002 2003 2004 2003 2003 2004 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003 2003	Settlement Agreement 1997 1997 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 13% = (550) 1,441 1,447 1,475 1,476 1,										DETER	MINATION G	T SF WATER Y Water Ye	TABLE 1 MATER YEAR CLASSIFIC Water Year Classification	TABLE ? DETERMINATION OF WATER YEAR CLASSIFICATION THRESHOLDS Weiter Year Classification	GIOHSENH	Ģ		
Cumulative Occurrance Settlement 1395 1397 1396 1999 2000 2001 2003 2004 0.0% - 0.4% - (150) 1,447 1,447 1,475 1,476	Settlement Agreement 1995 1997 1997 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 13% = 1500 1,441 1,475 1,475 1,476 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>602020 IN</th><th>IDEX (x 1000)</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>								602020 IN	IDEX (x 1000)									
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Water Year Classification	Cumula	have Occur	rence	Settiente	ini Aoreemeni	1956	1997	1998	1990	2000	2005	2002	2002	2000	2006	0000	1000
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{rclcrccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Critical Water Year and Below	0.0%		6.4%		1500	1 401	1 441	1 441	1 476	1 476	1 476	1 476	1 176	2017	2007		
$ \begin{bmatrix} 14, 45, & \cdot & 20, 55, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 12, 56, & \cdot & 20, 57, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 58, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 20, 28, & 2153 \\ 20, 76, & \cdot & 50, 78, & \cdot & 2000 \\ 20, 56, & \cdot & 2153 \\ 20, 76, & \cdot & 50, 78, & \cdot & 2700 \\ 21, 56, & \cdot & 2152 \\ 20, 76, & \cdot & 56, & -2700 \\ 21, 25, & \cdot & 2170 \\ 21, 25, & \cdot & 2163 \\ 21, 25, & \cdot & 2163 \\ 21, 25, & \cdot & 2163 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -100, 75, & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 \\ 21, 25, & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & -200 & $	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Median Critical Water Year	3.4%	Ŷ	16 496	2	12021	192 1	202 2								5/4	0/*	0/*.
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	otermediate Oritical Day Woter Veer	200 0 0				0021		Ĩ.	1 1 1 1	0/**'1	0/17'1	0/0'1	9/ 4	1.475	1.476	1.476	1.476	1.476
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$M_{a} = 0$	SL4 4	Ý	5U.0%	Ķ	2000	1,254	1,954	1,964	1.964	1,964	1,954	1,964	2,002	2.002	2.002	2.002	1 254
$\begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 8 & \rangle & \rightarrow \\ 2 & 3 & \rangle & 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 7 & 2 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 2 & 2$	עופכופת בתי	20.5%	γ	31.3%	H A	2200	2,158	2,159	2,183	2.183	2.183	2.183	2 183	7 197	2 187	787 0	7 187	201 0
$ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ntermediate Dry-Below Normai	31.3%	Ý	40.4%	H A	2400	2.441	2 441	2 442	1200	C04 C	C74 C	144 0	2444	007 0	i	22	202.0
$ 50.7\% \leftarrow 56.2\% \rightarrow 50.7\% \leftarrow 56.2\% \rightarrow 5100 3.150 3.150 3.150 3.150 3.150 3.170 3.150 3.170 3.153 3.170 3.$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	Viedian Below Normal	40.4%	Ŷ	50.7%	11	2700	2 609	064 0	0.720	2004 0	2004.0	2004 0		1 1007 0	2000	1 849 7	14477	5.0%'Z
0.1.7%	$12\% \rightarrow 100$ $3,103$ $3,153$ $3,153$ $3,153$ $3,225$ $3,639$ $3,139$	internediate Delaw Marmal Above Marmal	1010		20 00 V		20.4	2000	C7 / 7	2,120	Z'1ZU	21/02	5'1 ZU	7.1 ZU	2,120	2,693	2.720	2.720	2.720
D6.2% -< 71.3% >= 3100 3,699 3,740 3,740 3,689 3,649 3,665 3,669 3,665 3,669 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,665 3,655 4,555 4,555	.3% ≻= 3100 3,689 3,740 3,740 3,689 3,689 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,669 3,609 1,7% >= 3100 3,399 3,993 4,028 3,903 3,90		%/'nc	Ŷ	PG.2%	H A	3100	3,139	3,139	3,183	3,183	3.225	3,183	3,183	3,139	3,139	3,139	3,183	3,139
rmal-Wet 71.3% -< 86.7% >= 3100 3.598 3.903 4.028 4.028 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.908 3.898 3	1.7% ≻= 3100 3.888 3.903 4,028 4,028 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.903 3.888 3.503 10% ≻= 3100 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,653 4,653 4,653 4,653 4,653 4,653	VIECIEN ADOVE INORME!	S5.2%	Y	71.3%	H A	3100	3,689	3.689	3.740	3.740	3.689	3 635	3 629	3 680	3 660	2, 6,80	0.880	2 660
85.7% -< 100.0% >= 3100 4,595 4,593 4,653 4,558 4,558	10% >= 3100 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,553 4,653 4,653 4,553 4,593 4,593 4,553 4,553	intermediate Above Normal-Wet	71.3%	Ÿ,	86.7%	Ķ	3100	3.898	3.903	4 028	4 028	3 9/13	3 903	200 6	202.5	2 800	2002		
		Median Wet/Maximum	85.7%	Ŷ	100.0%	n A	3100	4,593	4,593	4,653	4,653	4.653	4,653	4,653	4.593	4.593	4.653	4,730	027.5

** The index in the Settlement Agreement was based on Water Years 1905-1995

Table 2

.

, '

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

October 17 - November 30, 2007 Average Flow

Tuolumne River Below La Grange Dam Near La Grange

	ACTUA	L FLOWS	(Provisio	nal USGS N	umbers)	
DATE	FLOW CFS				DATE	FLOW CFS
17-Oct	170				08-Nov	168
18-Oct	165				09-Nov	169
19-Oct	166				10-Nov	168
20-Oct	167				11-Nov	166
21-Oct	168				12-Nov	164
22-Oct	171				13-Nov	168
23-Oct	175				14-Nov	174
24-Oct	176				15-Nov	174
25-Oct	175				16-Nov	173
26-Oct	177				17-Nov	173
27-Oct	176				18-Nov	171
28-Oct	175				19-Nov	172
29-Oct	173				20-Nov	173
30-Oct	168				21-Nov	172
31-Oct	168				22-Nov	172
01-Nov	169				23-Nov	172
02-Nov	169				24-Nov	169
03-Nov	172				25-Nov	169
04-Nov	170				26-Nov	169
05-Nov	170				27-Nov	169
06-Nov	170				28-Nov	175
07-Nov	170				29-Nov	169
					30-Nov	168
			TO	TAL RELEA	ASE=	7,677
45 day average	3 mm	170.6	efs =	169.60	ft elevation *	
	Less 4 inches			-0.33		
Minimum Fl	ow =	76.7	CFS =	169.27	ft elevation *	

*

From U.S.G.S. table 22; for old La Grange Bridge (station not in use)

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Attachment -B-

<u>2007 Tuolumne River</u> <u>Technical Advisory Committee Materials:</u>

- List of 2007 TRTAC Activities/Materials
- March Meeting
- June Meeting
- September Meeting
- December Meeting

2007 TRTAC Activities & Materials

(underlined items are designated for inclusion in the FERC Report)

[For filings with FERC in their e-library, go to

http://elibrary.ferc.gov/idmws/search/fercgensearch.asp

indicate date range of interest, enter P-2299 as Docket Number, and submit]

Activities/Materials 01Jan-08Mar2007

- * 11Jan: TID letter on fall flows and Water Year classification
- * 18Jan, 01Feb, 15Feb, 01Mar: Seine summaries (Kirihara)
- * 02Feb: Draft study plan proposal requested by FERC
- * 02Mar: Draft meeting agenda and current materials list (Ford)
- * 05Mar: Conservation Groups' Comments on Districts' Draft Fisheries Study Plan
- * Other postings to TRTAC website http://tuolumnerivertac.com/
 - Dec2006 TRTAC meeting summary
 - Final 2006 TRTAC materials list
 - 2006 screw trap data report
 - Aug2006-Feb2007 thermograph summary
 - 12Jan-18Feb2007 Waterford screw trap summary

Select FERC filings available from FERC online e-library (listed by doc. date):

- 14Feb: The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust et al provides comments regarding Modesto & Turlock Irrigation District's preparation of a Study Plan and schedule for additional monitoring under Article 58 of the license under P-2299
- 22Feb: Modesto Irrigation District et al inform Natural Heritage Institute that they will be discussing the proposed fisheries plan during the 3/8/07 regular meeting of the Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee under P-2299.
- 07Mar: US Fish & Wildlife Service submits comments in response to the Modesto and Turlock Irrigation District's Draft Fisheries Study Plan under P-2299.

Activities/Materials 09Mar-14Jun2007

* 07Jun: Letters from CSPA and FOT in response to DFG letter of 23May to FERC

* 08Jun: Draft meeting agenda (Ford)

- * 12Jun: Notice of Boucher's relocation to Oregon (Boucher)
- * Other postings to TRTAC website http://tuolumnerivertac.com/
 - Mar2007 TRTAC meeting summary
 - Update of material list
 - 2007 flow schedule letters of 18Apr and 31May
 - 2007 periodic San Joaquin basin (and Tuolumne) monitoring updates
 - 2006 VAMP report and 2007 VAMP operation information

- 2006 Annual Report and technical reports

Select FERC filings available from FERC online e-library (listed by doc. date):

- 20Mar: Modesto Irrigation District's Article 58 Tuolumne River Fisheries Study Plan (3-20-07) and responses to comments received on February 2, 2007 Draft under P-2299.
- 27Mar: Modesto Irrigation District & Turlock Irrigation District's CD containing its 2006 Lower Tuolumne River Annual Report under P-2299.
- 04Apr: City and County of San Francisco's Comments on Modesto and Turlock Irrigation Districts' Tuolumne River Fisheries Study Plan City under P-2299.
- 18Apr: Turlock Irrigation District, CA submits the Tuolumne River Flow Schedule for the April 15 May 31 period, utilizing 22,564 AF in spring pulse flow due to the overall dry trend under P-2299.
- 23May: California Department of Fish and Game's re-iterate and clarifies various comments provided to FERC since 7/25/05 re the 20 year fishery monitoring program stipulated in Articles 57 and 58 of the New Don Pedro Proj-2299.
- 30May: Letter requesting Turlock Irrigation District et al to advise FERC within 30 days of a modification to the flow determination process has occurred, and if so, when the change has occurred re Don Pedro Proj-2299.

Activities/Materials 15Jun-13Sep2007

Postings to TRTAC website http://tuolumnerivertac.com/

- Meetings
 - June 2007 TRTAC meeting summary and handouts
 - September 2007 TRTAC meeting agenda and material list
- Correspondence
 - Filings about flow schedules
 - Filings about study plan
 - Filings about August FERC public meeting
- Documents
 - 2007 Tuolumne Screw Trap Data Report
- Data
 - 2007 Feb-Aug thermograph data
 - 2007 Jun/Jul snorkel data
 - Final 2007 screw trap update
 - Update of basin monitoring newsletter
- Participants
 - List has been updated

Activities/Materials 14Sep-13Dec2007

Postings to TRTAC website http://tuolumnerivertac.com/

- Meetings
 - September 2007 TRTAC meeting summary and handouts
 - December 2007 TRTAC meeting agenda, restoration update, and material list
- Correspondence
 - Filings about flow schedules
 - Filings about monitoring study plan
- Documents
 - Draft 2007 Seine and Snorkel Report
- Data/Monitoring
 - Sep2007 snorkel data
 - Updates of basin monitoring newsletter

TUOLUMNE RIVER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DON PEDRO PROJECT - FERC LICENSE 2299

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

08 March 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

DRAFT AGENDA

- 1. Introduction Comments on draft agenda and prior meeting summary
- 2. Items since Dec2006 meeting
 - A. Review material list
 - B. Status of TRTAC monitoring grant approved for funding in 2005
- 3. General Update
 - A. Data and report status, including Annual Report to FERC
 - B. Agency and NGO updates
 - C. Monitoring update Spawning run, ongoing and planned 2007 activities
 - D. Restoration status
- 4. River operations and forecasts FERC/VAMP schedules
- 5. Review of 2007 FERC Study Plan Proposal
- 6. Additional items
- 7. Next meeting and topics:
 - 14 June at TID

TUOLUMNE RIVER TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE DON PEDRO PROJECT - FERC LICENSE 2299

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

08 March 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

Draft Summary

- 1. Introduction
 - A. Comments on draft agenda and prior meeting summary none
- 2. Items since Dec2006 meeting
 - A. Comments on material list the listed items were reviewed
 - B. Status of 2005 TRTAC monitoring grant DFG had no new information

3. Updates

- A. Status of data/reports, including annual report
 - Ford provided draft annual report listing; 2006 report from DFG will be out soon; CWT update will update all tributary survival results and add first summary of SJR reaches
- B. Agency and NGO updates
 - FOT see Boucher items in D below
 - DFG see items in C below
 - FWS had no update Kim Webb is Acting Project Leader and available by email
- C. Monitoring update
 - DFG reported estimate of 625 salmon in fall run lower than in Stanislaus and Merced runs
 - Ford provided handouts on salmon catch and size from the Waterford RST and the seining
 - DFG has no plans to conduct lower RST operation this year

- D. Restoration update
 - Handout of updated TRTAC project status from Fryer (TID) was briefly reviewed
 - Boucher reported: State Parks is looking into acquiring land, incl. TR Resort; Grayson River Ranch active work is complete – monitoring report(s) will be later this year, but not in time for report to FERC; Bobcat Flat is having bird boxes installed by Lee Morris, an osprey nest platform is planned, and purchase of some of Arthur parcel is underway
 - Next TR Coalition meeting is 15 March
- 4. River operations and forecasts FERC/VAMP schedules
 - Ford provided handout on FSA flow schedule/volumes by year type dry year at 90% exceedence forecast; volume likely to be in range of 117,000-143,000 AF
 - Discussion on potential to shift water for spring pulse, but no decision some concern raised about delta pump impacts
 - VAMP may begin on 22 April; barrier at Head of Old River not expected; study is planned with 1000 smolts with acoustic tags;
- 5. Review of 2007 FERC Study Plan Proposal
 - Nees introduced subject, then Wilcox led review of plan elements
 - Li inquired about Section 10 permit issues
 - FWS, DFG, and NMFS not prepared to provide any additional comments; Li stated he would review proposal
 - Boucher: stated that FSA did not require Districts to implement ten projects, although they needed to be diligent in seeking funds; other NGO comments addressed most of their issues; concerned about adequacy of spring flows to mirror natural patterns and no additional flow commitment
 - Ford stated existing spring flows vary by year type; winter pulses are experimental for fry movement
 - Handout comparing study elements of Districts' proposal and Agency Limiting Factors Analysis
 - Nees stated Districts are reviewing existing comments as part of submittal to meet FERC timeline
- 6. Additional items
 - TID infiltration gallery at Geer Road may become operational in 2009
 - Water quality was discussed; what is status of monitoring in basin? Boucher noted several E. coli reports are being done, would like WQ info. on TRTAC website, is interested in monitoring downstream of Geer Road, and is concerned about possible impacts from feedlot near Peaslee Creek off Lake Road.
- 7. Next meetings and topics:
 - TRTAC on 14Jun, 13Sep, 13Dec; all start at 9:30 AM at TID

FERC 2299 TRTAC Meeting 08 March 2007

Name	Organization
Tim Ford	TID/MID
Robert Nees	TID
Roger Masuda	TID
Debbie Leibersbach	TID
Jeanie Hinds	TID
Bill Johnston	MID
Ron Yoshiyama	CCSF
Dennis Blakeman	DFG
Rick Burmester	USFWS
Stacy Li	NMFS
Noah Hume	Stillwater Sciences
Scott Wilcox	Stillwater Sciences
Allison Boucher	FOT

Draft

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

)

Turlock Irrigation District

and

Project No. 2299

Modesto Irrigation District

2006 LOWER TUOLUMNE RIVER ANNUAL REPORT

2006 Annual Summary Report

- Introduction
- Tuolumne River Technical Advisory Committee (TRTAC)
- Program Goals and Comparative Salmon Population Goals
- Flow Schedules and Operations
- Monitoring Information
- Non-flow Measure Activities in 2006
- Anticipated Non-flow Measure Activities in 2007
- Other FERC Settlement Agreement Activities
- Program Expenses through 2006
- References

<u>Exhibits</u>: Spawning run estimates, Ocean catch data, and Delta salmon salvage data <u>Attachment A</u>: Water, Flows, Temperature, and Flow Schedule Correspondence <u>Attachment B</u>: 2006 Technical Advisory Committee Materials

Report 2006-1: 2005 and 2006 Spawning Survey Reports

Report 2006-2: Spawning Survey Summary Update

Report 2006-3: 2006 Seine/Snorkel Report and Summary Update

Report 2006-4: 2006 Rotary Screw Trap Report

Report 2006-5: Rotary Screw Trap Summary Update

- Report 2006-6: Coded-wire Tag Summary Update
- Report 2006-7: Survival to Emergence Study Report
- Report 2006-8: Special Run Pool 9 and 7/11 Reach: Post-Project Monitoring Synthesis Report
- Report 2006-9: Lower Tuolumne River Predation Assessment Final Report

Report 2006-10: La Grange Gravel Addition Project Phase II 2000-2003 Report

Report 2006-11: La Grange Gravel Addition Project Phase II Geomorphic Monitoring Report



2007 Chinook Catch at Waterford and River Flow at La Grange

2002-2007 TUOLUMNE RIVER SEINING COMBINED FRY AND JUVENILE SALMON DENSITY INDEX





Weekly Average, Minimum and Maximum Lengths of Juvenile Chinook at Waterford Screw Trap - 2007

Average A Minimum A Maximum

TUOLUMNE RIVER JUVENILE SALMON STUDY 2007 SEINING



Minimum - Maximum - X- Average

Schedule	Days	Critical & Below		Median Critical		Intermediate C-D	đ	Median Dry		Intermediate D-BN	Q	Median Below Normal		Intermediate BN-AN	C)
Occurrence		6.4%		8.0%		6.1%		10.8%		9.1%		10.3%		15.5%	
Oct 1 - 15	rua rù	100	cfs	100	đŝ	150	cfs	150	cfs	180	cfs	200	cfs	300	cfs
		2,975	ac-ft	2,975	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	5,355	ac-ft	5,950	ac-ft	8,926	ac-ft
Attraction Pulse Flow		none		none		none		none		1,676	ac-ft	1,736	ac-ft	5,950	ac-ft
Oct 16 - May 31	228	150	cfs	150	CfS	150	cfs	150	cfs	180	cfs	175	cfs	300	cfs
		67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	81,402	ac-ft	79,140	ac-fi	135,669	ac-ft
Outmigration Pulse Flow			47 6 10	20,091	ac-弁 あ	32,619	ac-ft	37,060	ac-ft	35,920	ac-ft	60,027	ac-ft	89,882	ac-ft
June 1 - Sept 30	122	20	Cfs	50	Cfs	50	cfs	75	cts	75	cfs	75	cfs	250	cfs
		12,099	ac-ft	12,099	ac-ft	12,099	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	60,496	ac-ft
Volume (ac-ft)	302 302 302	94,000		103,000		117,016		127,507		142,502		165,002		300,923	
Basin Index Threshold	Threshold			1.476		2.002		2.187	~	2.441		2.720		3.183	0

Basin Index Threshold (calc. through WY2006)

TUOLUMNE FERC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FLOW SCHEDULE

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

Status

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT <u>M E M O R A N D U M</u>

TO:TRTACFROM:Wilton FryerDATE:8 March 2007RE:Restoration Projects - Status Update

Funding

Project Active Projects:

MJ Ruddy	none	All the project (Federal) funds were withdrawn by AFRP and CBDA effective 30 June 06 and 31 March 06 respectively. The landowner has been informed that the project was defunded, but he still has desire to see the project built. There is a slim potential for a portion of the Warner-Deardorff Phase II Prop 204 funds being made available for reconstruction of a redesigned Ruddy reach project. See next.
Warner-Deardorff	Uncertain	The status of the \$10.8M in CBDA Prop 204 funds, originally for Phase II work, remain uncertain. The project completed a Directed Action process, without CBDA issuing a contract, while under the directions from the CBDA ERPIAM. In May 2006 the DFG representative on the ERPIAM directed that completion of the contact be stopped pending transfer of the project administration from CBDF to CDFG. It is not clear if the funds were transferred to DFG in July 06, as none of the Prop 204 funding from CBDA has been transferred to DFG administration as of 1 March 2007. The original project funds may still be available for work on the Mining Reach, if a revised directed action proposal is approved and contract is in place by May 2007. AFRP modified their Phase I funding agreement with TID to allow a revision of the designs that would allow a modification of both the MJ Ruddy Segment and Warner-Deardorff Segments to fit the available Phase II funds. The designs are scheduled for completion by 12 Mar 07 and a proposal will be prepared for submittal to CBDA- DFG.
La Grange Gravel	Full	The Infusion Project, with all the amendment changes in place, went before the CBDA-ERPIAM in November and December

		2006. These current members and CBDA staff did not realize that the amendment had gone through the required public review process back in March and April 2004 and the current submittal was the culmination of all the previous CBDA staff requests since 2004. It appears the ERPIAM will allow the contract amendment to proceed before the next amendments hearing in mid May, but confirmation was not received by the time of this report. In December 06, M&T was given approval to try and complete the design, review, and permitting tasks to be in a position to bid for 2007 inchannel work. At this time, it will still be very lucky to get all permits, design review, and contracts let in time for work in July 2007. Expect the project to run in 2008 and 2009, assuming a time extension is granted. Discussions this week with DFG indicate 4-Pumps will be proceeding with a gravel addition at riffle 3A in 2007. This is one of the sites identified for the LG Infusion Project. While following the Course Sediment Management Plan for conceptual design, the design review procedures required of 4- Pumps projects does not include the processes in the CSMP
		that were approved by the CalFed. While it may be possible to piggy back off the DFG $-$ 4-Pumps permits for the TID infusion project, there needs to be much closer coordination between these two projects.
Fine Sediment	Full	The Gasburg Creek restoration construction went out for bid in August 2006 with bids received on 1 September. The bids exceeded available funding. A design & project element review has been completed and the project will again go out for bids in March 2007 with the construction to start in June 2007.
Completed Projects:	(No Changes)	
SRP 10	Partial	This project was split into two phases by CBDA and only design and modeling funded under Phase I. No Phase II funding for acquisition and construction has ever been identified. The Phase I work was completed in June 2006 and the project funding closed for Phase I. The landowner has been informed there is no foreseeable Phase II funding.
SRP 9	Full	Construction completed, revegetation planted and maintained for two years, and final replacement planting completed in December 2003. NOC filed March 2003.
SRP 10 Dike	Full	Construction complete. NOC filed March 2003.
7\11 Segment	Full	Construction complete with remaining revegetation planted in December 2003. 7\11 Materials NOC filed March 2003. HART NOC filed May 2004. A separate limited irrigation & maintenance agreement is in place for 2004, funded by MWD.
-----------------	------	--
Design Manual	Full	Completed with Final Report submitted 26 February 2004.
Course Sediment	Full	Report was completed with modifications on methods and techniques to protect existing salmonid habitats during implementation. The CBDA Science Panel has accepted the CSMP as part of their acceptance of the LG Sediment Infusion Project.
RM 43	Full	The Project was completed in September 2005 and post project monitoring was started in time for this year's salmon run.

Agency Limiting Factor Analysis and Recommended Studies	Ĩ	TUOLUMNE RIVER FISHERIES STUDY PLAN	
	Corresp. Dist No. D	Dist No.	Corresp. Agency I FA No
Chimook Salmon Studies		nova	
1.1. Outnook Samtou escapement and read surveys 1.2. Otolith and/or Scale Age analysis		 Expanded analysis of existing CWT data at other recovery locations Experimental winter pulse flow schedule 	1.4, 1.13
1.3 Rotary Screw Trap. Jan-Jun Upper and Lower Sites	1.3		. 1.3. 2.6
1.4 CW I smolt survival studies during non-low flows	1.1		
1.5 Smoit Tagging – Predation & other mortality factors	5.1	-	1
1.0 Juvenue risu fuisougy, ruysiology, and Disease Study 7 Deciderent Discher Star Arts Arts Arts			
1. A redator in Sundy red-fixia (iny) 1 8 Fail Prite Flow on For Vishility	5.2		
1.9 Pre-Spawn Mortality Study			1.16
1.10 Intensive Redd Use Surveys	2.4	2.4 Neural invitioning and enletgence trapping 2.5 Assessment of the 1995 FSA Section 1.2 Drocram	1.10, 1.16
1.11 Pilot Delta Fry Contribution Study using Microchemical and Microstructural Methods			
1.12 Water Temperature Monitoring	6.1	III. Fry Survival	T
1.13 Early vs. Late Smolt Survival: Reanalysis of existing CWT data	1.1	3.1 Paired Rotary Screw Trap (RST) Monitoring	
1.14 Model relationship between flow and floodplain area inundated.			
1.15 Fall Pulse Flow Straying Study AFRP Stillwater Ongoing Juvenile Use at Restoration Sites		^{3.3} Synthesis of ongoing and planned spawning and emergence studies with RST data	
1.16 Egg survival to emergence studies in restoration gravels	2.3, 2.4		
1.1/ Water Temperature Modeling-Thermal Response (e.g. HEC5Q)	6.2	IV. Steelhead Presence/Protection	I
1.18 Water Temperature Modeling-Juvenile Production (e.g. SALMOD or ORCM)	6.2	4.1 Summer population estimate	222425
1.19 Predation stomach contents Apr-Jun-(Electrofishing, Angling, Gill Net Study)	5.2	4.2 Sampling of O. mykiss for Anadromy	1.4. 1. 1.
1.20 Water Quality Contaminant Bioassay Lab Study		4.3 Synthesize results of past and ongoing studies by 2011	215
1.21 Juvenile food source study			ì
1.22 Entrainment studies at unscreened diversions		V. Predator Control	
1.23 Flow Ramping Rates and Riparian Vegetation Recruitment Surveys		5.1 Monitoring of Completed Predator Isolation Projects	ų,
1.24 Phase III Quantify Fry and Smolt Losses from Predation	5.2		C.1 4010171
Steelhead Studies		5.4 Paired Rotary Screw Trap (RST) Monitoring	
2.1 Otolith and Scale Study			
2.2 Restored Site Snorkel of Videography Surveys		VI. River Temperature	
2.3 Electro-fishing Adult Mark-Recapture Study	4,1	6.1 Continue Temperature Monitoring	110 0 11
2.4 Adult Abundance—Snorkeling Survey	4.1		117 118 212 212
2.5 Juvenile Abundance Snorkel Surveys	4.1		
2.6 Rotary Screw Trap Sampling	1.3		
2.7 Juvenile Gill- ATPase Study			
2.8 IFIM Study			
2.9 Radio Telemetry/Sonic Tag Study			
2.1 Creel/Poaching Survey			
	6.1		
2.12 Water Temperature Modeling-Thermal Response (e.g. HEC5Q)	6.2		
2.13 Water Temperature Modeling-Juvenile Production (e.g. SALMOD or ORCM)	6.2		
2.14 Adult Kedd Survey			
Z. 10 Lumiting Factors Analysis	4.3		

.

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

14 June 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

Draft Summary

- 1. Introduction
 - A. Comments on draft agenda none
- 2. Items since Mar2007 meeting
 - A. Comments on material list the listed items were reviewed; send comments on Mar meeting notes
- 3. General Updates
 - A. Data and report status; distribution of annual report
 - Ford provided 2006 annual report to several attendees
 - Hume reported invertebrate report work was presently on hold
 - Li requested copy of seine data sheets already being provided to CDFG and FWS by Districts
 - B. Agency and NGO updates
 - FOT the Bouchers are relocating to Oregon for several years but will still be involved with FOT and TRTAC
 - TRT Koepele stated Meg Gonzalez is new staff person in Modesto; she had developed 4th grade Trekking the Tuolumne curriculum for the Great Valley Museum
 - C. Monitoring update
 - Hume reported a NMFS Section 10 permit for trout monitoring had been obtained
 - TRTAC monitoring grant funding administered by CDFG has still not been released to TID
 - Ford provided handout on salmon catch from the Waterford and Grayson screw

traps for the season and noted that only about 30 salmon (all in April) were caught at Grayson; another handout showed the size of salmon caught at Waterford

- D. Restoration update
 - Handout of updated TRTAC project status from Fryer (TID) was briefly reviewed:
 - i. Gasburg Project will start in about a week
 - ii. CDFG delayed approval of amendment to TRTAC gravel addition project in May so no gravel will be added this year
 - iii. CDFG requested delay of \$10.8 million contract award in 2006 for TRTAC Warner-Deardorff channel restoration project and that funding is still not awarded – a redesign has been submitted to combine with the adjacent TRTAC Ruddy reach project which had already lost funding for construction
 - Boucher reported:
 - i. A proposed 32 acre parcel split variance would result in a 29 acre addition to their Bobcat Flat property
 - ii. 22Jun tours will be held at Bobcat Flat and Grayson River Ranch
 - iii. Ceres has tour of new river park off Hatch Road at 2 pm on 13Jun (day of meeting)
 - Koepele reported:
 - i. Big Bend tree planting was completed and smaller vegetation will be added in next two years
 - ii. Monitoring report may be available by end of year
 - iii. Stillwater Sciences poster of monitoring for CALFED meeting is available online (check with Hume)
 - Discussion of stalled restoration grant for TRTAC gravel addition project being administered by CDFG and measures Districts have employed to attempt to move process forward; Boucher indicated a letter urging CDFG to provide funds may be sent; Koepele suggested TRTAC project selection be reviewed again
- 4. River operations and forecasts Flow schedule
 - Ford provided handout of current flow schedule summer schedule presently at 95 cfs and subject to change; estimated annual volume used was 117,335 AF with final volume not known until August
- 5. Additional items
 - Li stated he completed a draft of a NMFS Tech. Advisory Bulletin on the Delta that could be out in July
- 6. Next meetings and topics:
 - 13 September and 13 December, starting at 9:30 AM at TID

FERC 2299 TRTAC Meeting 14 June 2007

Name	<u>Organization</u>
Tim Ford	TID/MID
Robert Nees	TID
Roger Masuda	TID
Bill Johnston	MID
Ron Yoshiyama	CCSF
Rick Burmester	USFWS
Noah Hume	Stillwater Sciences
Allison Boucher	FOT
Stacy Li	NMFS (phone)
Patrick Koepele	TRT (phone)



2007 Chinook Catch at Waterford and Tuolumne



Individual Lengths of Chinook Captured at Waterford - 2007

Weekly Average, Minimum and Maximum Lengths of Juvenile Chinook at Waterford



TABLE 1

1

Tuolumne River Flow Schedule

SCHEDULE FOR 2007 - 2008 Fish Flow Year

DATE Number of I - Decase - 201 AP AP AP CAUM CBU CAUM CAUM CAUM 11 offer-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201 1-2-2-201	[BASE	FLOW		F	ULSE FI	OW			ΙΤΙΟΝΑΙ	FLOW	TOTAL	FERC FLOW
Tro DX8 GPS A.F. CPS	D	ATE	Number of	DASE	I'LOW	ACCUM.						IIIONAL		IUIAL	
16-6xp-2127 10-8xp-2007 1 18 98 985 16-6xp-2017 11-8xp-2007 1 18 98 985 16-6xp-2017 11-8xp-2007 1 185 100 110 18 987 0 0 0 0 100	From:		4 1			1 1	CFS		A.F.	C			A.F.		
17-5gr-2000 11-4gr-22017 1 150 180 180 100 188 580 0															
19-15/20-2937 39-20-2937 1 150 198 110 198 980 0 0 0 0 0 198 1983 20-19-2-2017 1 150 188 1.16 181 1.16 111 231 1.11 239 3.46 111 231 3.46 111 231 3.46 111 231 3.46 3.56 111 231 3.46 3.56 111 231 3.46 3.56 111 4.46 3.99 4.46 23-302-2317 23-402-22107 1 150 288 3.237 737 4.403 3.6 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 640 3.8 111 631 111 631 1116 116 116 116 </td <td></td>															
13-14-12-007 13-42-2207 1 150 188 1,08 100 198 100 198 100 198 100 198 100 198 100 198 100 198 100 198 100	Construction of the owner	And a second	4 1					-		-					
2012 20-2er-2017 1 150 150 32 778 1.778 35 111 121 199 3667 21-4gr-230 22-4gr-2301 1 150 150 32 778 3.277 3.5 111 124 599 4.641 21-4gr-2301 22-4gr-2301 1 150 32 32 378 3.277 3.5 111 140 599 4.641 21-4gr-2301 22-4gr-2301 1 150 32 323 378 36 111 140 599 9.500 21-4gr-2301 23-4gr-2401 1 150 98 4.845 32 38 4.841 101 100 999 10.999 3.999 10.999 3.999 10.999 3.999 10.999 3.990 3.991 3.441 30 38 4.351 30 111 100 39 3.991 3.441 30 3.441 30 3.441 30 3.411 3															
11-162-2007 21-262-2007 1 180 98 2.083 357 78 2.58 55 111 231 997 6.454 22-262-2007 21-2692-2007 1 180 98 2.083 357 78 4.155 56 111 443 997 6.411 24-4672-1007 21-2492-2107 1 180 98 2.083 357 78 4.155 56 111 443 997 6.411 24-4672-1007 21-2492-2107 1 190 28 3.09 777 7.4640 56 111 999 1.091 24-262-207 23-2492-2077 1 190 28 4.165 350 110 131 190 134 101 132 110 134 104 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 144 1453 110 132 132 110 133 127 110 133															and a second sec
122-129-1201 122-129-1200 11 190 288 2.380 302 778 4.403 391 728 4.433 58 111 344 399 6.441 22-129-1200 12-129-1200 1 150 288 2.475 3.42 778 4.433 56 111 390 4.463 391 778 4.443 56 111 390 14.03 391 4.463 391 778 5.4441 56 111 100 391 14.03 391 14.03 391 14.03 391 14.03 391 14.03 391 14.03 <td></td>															
2-b-ge-2007	and the second se		1	150	298		392	778			56	111	334	599	6,041
B2-bar-2007 B3-bar-2007 B4-bar-2007	23-Apr-2007	23-Apr-2007	1	150	298	2,678	392	778			56	111	446	599	7,229
32-hap-2007 36-hap-2007 1 190 298 3.570 778 6.440 25 11 190 193 3.570 778 7.211 55 111 190 193 3.570 778 7.211 55 111 180 399 11.078 590 11.078 590 11.072 11.083 590 11.078 590 11.078 590 11.072 11.083 590 11.078															
27-Apc-2007 27-Apc-2007 1 150 298 3,485 902 778 2,218 50 111 992 99 11,185 29-Apc-2007 29-Apc-2007 1 150 298 4,465 153 560 1,535															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															and the second se
29-Rg-2007 20-Rg-2007 1 150 298 4,460 155 563 4.0 16 18 1898 476 144 183 1898 140 374 144833 0-Hay-2007 0-Kay-2007 1 150 298 5,555 107 122 8,547 15 30 127 14,553 0-Hay-2007 0-Kay-2007 1 150 298 5,653 107 212 8,548 107 212 8,548 118 30 227 14,013 0-Hay-2007 0-Hay-2007 1 150 298 6,448 107 212 16,013 18 30 1323 227 14,014 0-Hay-2007 0-Hay-2007 1 150 298 6,448 107 121 10,013 18 30 1414 227 16,014 0-Hay-2007 0-Hay-2007 1 150 298 7,438 107 121 10,014 141497 10,014 <td></td>															
3b-Ag-2eo7 3o-Agr-2eo7 1 150 288 4,260 10-Hay-2eo7 0 1 150 288 5,368 107 12 3,371 18 30 1107 127 15,393 10-Hay-2eo7 0 3-May-2eo7 1 150 288 5,553 107 122 3,661 18 30 1207 15,393 121 16,461 18 30 1207 15,4647 <t< td=""><td></td><td>*</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>the second se</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and the second se</td><td></td><td>and the second se</td></t<>		*					the second se						and the second se		and the second se
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c} 0 + 8 \\ 0 - 8 \\$	02-May-2007	02-May-2007	1	150	298	5,355	107	212	9,377		15	30	1201	272	15,933
$\begin{array}{c} 0 = May = 2007 & 0 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 6.448 \\ 0 = 0 = May = 2007 & 0 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 6.448 \\ 0 = 0 = 212 & 10.226 & 15 & 30 & 1232 & 128.044 \\ 0 = 0 = May = 2007 & 0 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.448 \\ 0 = May = 2007 & 0 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.448 \\ 0 = May = 2007 & 0 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.448 \\ 0 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.438 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.331 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.331 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.331 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.231 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.232 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.232 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8.232 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9.221 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9.231 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9.218 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9.218 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 1 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10.418 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 1 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 2 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 2 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11.408 \\ 2 = May = 2007 & 2 = May = 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1$															
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c} 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & 130 & 298 & 7.481 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.438 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.438 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7.438 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & 150 & 298 & 7.348 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0^{-1} \text{deg}_{-2}(0)^{-1} & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & $															
$\begin{array}{c} 0 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 0 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7,440 \\ 10^{-1} May - 2007 & 1 - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7,364 \\ 10^{-1} May - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 7,364 \\ 10^{-1} May - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8,331 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8,331 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8,262 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 8,262 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,262 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,262 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,262 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,261 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,261 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 9,101 \\ 1 = k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & -k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-1} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-1} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-1} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 10,116 \\ 3^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,016 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,016 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,016 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,001 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,001 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 11,001 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 298 & 1,266 \\ 2^{-2} - k_{3y} - 2007 & 1 & 150 & 29$				and the second se					and the second second second second						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.													and the second se
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															and the second se
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		and the second se	1	150	298	and the second sec	196	389			28	56	1500	374	20,997
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1	150		8,331	285		12,030			81	1581		21,942
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				the second s											Contraction of the second s
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															and the second se
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	and the second sec						and all other than the second database								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	and the second			And the second se	298			778				111	Contractor Contractor Contractor	599	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	21-May-2007	21-May-2007	1	150		11,008		793	19,050			0	2,473	550	32,531
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	and a second				and the second se										
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					L										
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			1	135											36,221
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	President and an an an an an and an an an and an an an and an an an and an														
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	and the second			And the full states of the state of the stat											
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Participation and an an an and an an an and an a														
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							and the second sec								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01-Sep-2007		30	50		26,083			20,091			2,678			59,467
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $															
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	The second s														
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$															
31-oct-2007 31-oct-2007 1 150 298 33.818 0 0 20.091 0 14.037 150 67.946 01-Nov-2007 16-Nov-2007 16 150 4.760 38.579 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 67.946 01-Nov-2007 30-Nov-2007 14 150 4.165 42.744 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 72.707 01-Dec-2007 31-Dec-2007 31 150 9.223 61.190 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 86.09 01-Feb-2008 29-Feb-2008 29 150 8.628 69.818 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>and an an</td></td<>															and an
01-Nov-2007 16-Nov-2007 16 150 4.760 38.579 0 0 20.091 0 14.037 150 72.707 17-Nov-2007 30-Nov-2007 14 150 4.165 42.744 0 0 0 14.037 150 76.872 01-Dec-2007 31-Dec-2007 31 150 9.223 51.967 0 0 0 14.037 150 86.09 01-Feb-2008 31-Jan-2008 31 150 9.223 61.190 0 0 0 14.037 150 95.318 01-Feb-2008 29-Feb-2008 29 150 8.628 69.818 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>The second se</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				The second se											
17-Nov-2007 30-Nov-2007 14 150 4.165 42.744 0 0 20.091 0 14.037 150 76.872 01-Dec-2007 31-Dec-2007 31 150 9.223 51.967 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 86.095 01-Jan-2008 31-Jan-2008 31 150 9.223 61.190 0 0 0 14.037 150 86.095 01-Feb-2008 29-Feb-2008 29 150 8.628 69.818 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 95.318 01-Mar-2008 31-Mar-2008 31 150 9.223 79.041 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 150 103.946 01-Apr-2008 14 150 4.165 83.207 0 0 0 0 14.037 150 117.335															
01-Jan-2008 31-Jan-2008 31 150 9.223 61,190 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 95,318 01-Feb-2008 29-Feb-2008 29 150 8,628 69,818 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 95,318 01-Mar-2008 31-Mar-2008 31 150 9,223 79,041 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 113,169 01-Apr-2008 14 150 4,165 83,207 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 113,169															
01-Feb-2008 29-Feb-2008 29 150 8.628 69,818 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 103,946 01-Mar-2008 31-Mar-2008 31 150 9,223 79,041 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 113,169 01-Apr-2008 14 150 4,165 83,207 0 0 20,091 0 0 14,037 150 117,335	01-Dec-2007	31-Dec-2007													
01-Mar-2008 31-Mar-2008 31 150 9.223 79.041 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 113.169 01-Apr-2008 14-Apr-2008 14 150 4.165 83.207 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 113.169															
01-Apr-2008 14-Apr-2008 14 150 4.165 83.207 0 0 20.091 0 0 14.037 150 117.335				and the second se											
	No. of days	14-Apr-2008				L 83,207] (I 0	20,091	I	U	I 0	14,037	150	117,555

 366
 (April 15 through April 14)

1 cfs day = 1.983471 acre-feet (af)

 Notes: 1. Based on 60-20-20 Index is 2,043,183
 July 31, 1996 FERC Order Flow Interpolated as 119,360 AF fish flow year requirement.

 2. The pulse flows are a target that represents a daily average.

*

-

e

2008

æ

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT <u>M E M O R A N D U M</u>

TO:	TRTAC
FROM:	Wilton Fryer
DATE:	14 June 2007
RE:	Restoration Projects - Status Update

Project Funding Status Active Projects:

Fine Sediment	Full	The Gasburg Creek restoration construction went out for a second round of bids in March 2007. The bids came in higher than the 2006 bids. The new bids had been set up to allow portions of the project to be deleted to get down to available funds. A contract has been awarded to Seam Smith and the work will be starting 18 June 2007.
La Grange Gravel	Full	The Infusion Project, with all the amendment changes in place, went before the CBDA-ERPIAM in November and December 2006 and again in March 2007. The amendment was then scheduled for formal approval on 17 May 2007. However, the amendment was pulled from the agenda by DFG on 15 May and there is no clear idea of what will happen next.
		We had been working closely with DFG / 4-Pumps to use their permits and our CSMP designs and modeling for the Reclamation Board permit such that we would be able to start some of our infusion work this summer. With cancellation of the amendment we had to suspend the joint effort with DFG. We have not heard the impacts this has had on the remainder of the 4-Pumps work, but it is expected to complicate them completing their permits for this the last year of the 4-Pumps funding.
MJ Ruddy	none	All the project (Federal) funds were withdrawn by AFRP and CBDA effective 30 June 06 and 31 March 06 respectively. A Warner Deardorff amendment request was submitted on 24 May 2007 that included a redesign of the MJ Ruddy project with lower floodway benches that are considered to be more conducive to fry & smolt rearing. This was a design change

		approved and funded by AFRP. Processing of this request would be predicated on the contract being issued for the initial Warner-Deardorff Project. See next.
Warner-Deardorff	Uncertain	The status of obtaining a contract for the \$10.8M in CBDA Prop 204 funds originally awarded for Phase II work remains uncertain. However, DFG has indicated that the funds have not been withdrawn from the project. On 24 May 2007 a request was submitted to DFG asking that they now complete the award of the contract that they requested CBDA to suspend in June 2006 only until completion of the transition to DFG administration of the ERP funds. The submittal package included the completed ERP Science Panel directed action & response, the 90% drawings for the original Warner-Deardorff plans, and the 30% level of redesign that included the lowered floodway benches on the MJ Ruddy Project along with the lower bench configuration for the Warner-Deardorff Project. The 30% redesign work had been paid for by AFRP before their portion of the Warner-Deardorff Phase I funding ran out.
Completed Projects:	(No Changes)	
SRP 10	Partial	This project was split into two phases by CBDA and only design and modeling funded under Phase I. No Phase II funding for acquisition and construction has ever been identified. The Phase I work was completed in June 2006 and the project funding closed for Phase I. The landowner has been informed there is no foreseeable Phase II funding.
SRP 9	Full	Construction completed, revegetation planted and maintained for two years, and final replacement planting completed in December 2003. NOC filed March 2003.
SRP 10 Dike	Full	Construction complete. NOC filed March 2003.
7\11 Segment	Full	Construction complete with remaining revegetation planted in December 2003. 7\11 Materials NOC filed March 2003. HART NOC filed May 2004. A separate limited irrigation & maintenance agreement is in place for 2004, funded by MWD.
Design Manual	Full	Completed with Final Report submitted 26 February 2004.
Course Sediment	Full	Report was completed with modifications on methods and techniques to protect existing salmonid habitats during implementation. The CBDA Science Panel has accepted the

		CSMP as part of their acceptance of the LG Sediment Infusion Project.
RM 43	Full	The Project was completed in September 2005 and post project monitoring was started in time for this year's salmon run.

Tim Ford - Fwd: we're moving

From:Tim FordTo:TRTAC LIST 2-2007Date:6/12/2007 9:23:33 AMSubject:Fwd: we're moving

To TRTAC list as requested

>>> "Allison Boucher" <boucher.a@comcast.net> 6/9/2007 11:55 AM >>> Tim,

Please forward this email to the TRTAC group.

Dave and I are taking a sabatical for a few years. We're moving to Bend, OR where Dave has a position that is one week on and one week off--the best kind of half time. I have closed my practice and am retired.

The Friends of the Tuolumne will continue its efforts for the river in every way. Our Board of Directors is supportive of our temporary leave of absence and we will continue to travel to Modesto for select meetings throughout the year.

Bobcat Flat, one of our active projects, is seeking funds to place additional gravel in the river to create spawning and rearing habitat immediately downstreem of Rivermile 43. We need approximately \$1 million for engineering, permits, construction, and monitoring. Any help or support you can provide will be greatly appreciated.

Dave and I expect to move back to the area in time for the FERC relicensing in 2015. And, of course, we will remain active in the current 10 year review with FERC.

Necessary info:

Phone: 209-471-0476 Phone: 541-306-6887 Address: 1900 NE 3rd, Ste 106 #314 Bend, OR 97701

Please feel free to call anytime.

I am giving a tour of the Bobcat Flat completed construction on Friday, June 22 at 9:30 am and we will tour Grayson River Ranch after lunch. You are all welcome to come.

Dave and Allison Boucher

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

13 September 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

DRAFT AGENDA

- 1. Introduction Comments on draft agenda
- 2. Items since June 2007 meeting
 - A. Review material list
- 3. General Update
 - A. Data and report status
 - B. Agency and NGO updates
 - C. Monitoring update completed and planned activities
 - D. Restoration status
- 4. River operations and forecasts Flow schedule
- 5. Additional items
- 6. Next meeting and topics:
 - 13 December at TID

(see also information at TRTAC website: <u>http://tuolumnerivertac.com/</u>)

From:Tim FordTo:fwm; TRTAC LIST 2-2007Date:9/7/2007 10:45:22 AMSubject:September 13 TRTAC meeting

To TRTAC list:

The next TRTAC meeting is Thursday, September 13 at TID, 9:30 AM. Attached is the restoration project update from Wilton Fryer. Other meeting material and updates since the June meeting already posted at the TRTAC website (http://tuolumnerivertac.com/) include:

- Meetings
 - June 2007 TRTAC meeting summary and handouts
 - September 2007 TRTAC meeting agenda and material list
- Correspondence
 - Filings about flow schedules
 - Filings about study plan
 - Filings about August FERC public meeting
- Documents
 - 2007 Tuolumne Screw Trap Data Report
- Data
 - 2007 Feb-Aug thermograph data
 - 2007 Jun/Jul snorkel data
 - Final 2007 screw trap update
 - Update of basin monitoring newsletter
- Participants
 - List has been updated

Tim Ford, Aquatic Biologist Turlock Irrigation District 333 E. Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95380 209-883-8275 Phone 209-656-2180 FAX

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

13 September 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

Final Summary

- 1. Introduction and comments on draft agenda
 - DFG would not be attending per message to Wilton Fryer/TID
 - Cindy Charles of Golden West Women Flyfishers (GWWF) was attending for the first time
 - Flow schedule review would be first update topic discussed
- 2. Items since June 2007 meeting
 - A. Comments on material list the items listed since June were reviewed (meetings, correspondence, documents, data, participant list) all available at website
- 3. General Updates
 - A. Data and report status
 - 2007 Screw trap data report has been posted
 - Snorkel and thermograph data has been posted
 - B. Agency and NGO updates
 - GWWF Members fish the Tuolumne and are interested in summer temperature conditions for trout; further review of recent data should be made
 - C. Monitoring update
 - Another snorkel survey will be done later in the month
 - Invertebrate sampling was done in late July
 - D. Restoration update
 - Handout of updated TRTAC project status from Fryer (TID) was reviewed:
 - i. Gasburg Project to be completed by December, but CDFG has IDed some issues about prior work
 - ii. Gravel addition project: the amendment is now waiting for a new CDFG

evaluation report to be completed by the end of the year. Even if approved, that could cause more delay of another year to 2009

- iii. Warner-Deardorff and Ruddy Reach channel restoration projects are also stalled until the new CDFG evaluation report
- 4. River operations and forecasts Flow schedule
 - Current schedule went from 95 cfs to 76 cfs on 11 September to adjust to final volume; another schedule letter was sent out
 - Ford provided a PowerPoint/handout review of flow schedule determination process
 - Dry years under current system since 1995 were 2001-2004 and 2007 (driest)
 - Year types, volumes, and seasonal allocations, including scheduling of interpolation volumes, were reviewed
 - Review of basin index forecasts (50% and 90%) and schedule volume changes over time was done for 2004 and 2007
 - Changes in the 2007 50% and 90% volumes relative to schedule year types were reviewed
 - Examples of other dry year fall flow schedules were provided; NMFS is coordinating with other agencies regarding if a fall pulse flow allocation is recommended
- 5. Additional items
 - Question from Burmester of pulse flow effects on low DO conditions at Stockton in the Deep Water Ship Channel; Hume thought about 2,000 cfs at Vernalis would increase DO; it was noted that some salmon seemed to even get past low DO, perhaps by another migration route, such as Old River before barrier placement.
- 6. Next meetings and topics
 - 13 December, starting at 9:30 AM at TID

FERC 2299 TRTAC Meeting 13 September 2007

<u>Name</u>	Organization
Tim Ford	TID/MID
Robert Nees	TID
Roger Masuda	TID
Wes Monier	TID
Debbie Liebersbach	TID
Walter Ward	MID
Bill Sears	CCSF
Ron Yoshiyama	CCSF
Rick Burmester	USFWS
Noah Hume	Stillwater Sciences
Cindy Charles	Golden West Women Flyfishers

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT <u>M E M O R A N D U M</u>

TO:TRTACFROM:Wilton FryerDATE:13 September 2007RE:Restoration Projects - Status Update

Project Funding Status Active Projects:

Fine Sediment	Full	The earthwork portion of the Gasburg Creek restoration construction was completed on 31 July and it looks great. Revegetation is scheduled for November. After the construction was finished, DFG, as contract manager, has raised procedural questions on how the Gasburg Creek construction elements have been changed since the project was first proposed. For example: the addition of upstream erosion sites as a DFG condition for building the original lower site on DFG lands.
La Grange Gravel	Full	There has been no change in the status of the Gravel Infusion Project since the ERP amendment was pulled from the agenda by DFG on 15 May. This also effectively stopped work on the associated DFG - 4-Pumps project in the same reach of the river.
		We are waiting for the DFG to complete an assessment report for restoration projects on the Merced & Tuolumne Rivers that is due out at the end of December. DFG has indicated they will not consider the amendment until after this assessment report is completed and its findings accepted. However, if there is to be infusion work done in the summer of 2008 it will be necessary to restart work on the required state & federal permits in October 2007.
MJ Ruddy	none	No Change in project status. All the project (Federal) funds were withdrawn by AFRP and CBDA effective 30 June 06 and 31 March 06 respectively. A Warner Deardorff amendment request was submitted on 24 May 2007 that included a redesign of the MJ Ruddy project with lower floodway benches that are considered to be more conducive to

		fry & smolt rearing. This was a design change approved and funded by AFRP. Processing of this request would be predicated on the contract being issued for the initial Warner- Deardorff Project. See next.
Warner-Deardorff	Uncertain	No change in project status. The status of obtaining a contract for the \$10.8M in CBDA Prop 204 funds originally awarded for Phase II work remains uncertain. However, DFG has indicated that the funds have not been withdrawn from the project. On 24 May 2007 a request was submitted to DFG asking that they now complete the award of the contract that they requested CBDA to suspend in June 2006 only until completion of the transition to DFG administration of the ERP funds. The submittal package included the completed ERP Science Panel directed action & response, the 90% drawings for the original Warner-Deardorff plans, and the 30% level of redesign that included the lowered floodway benches on the MJ Ruddy Project along with the lower bench configuration for the Warner-Deardorff Project. The 30% redesign work had been paid for by AFRP before their portion of the Warner- Deardorff Phase I funding ran out.
Completed Projects:	(No Changes)	
SRP 10	Partial	This project was split into two phases by CBDA and only design and modeling funded under Phase I. No Phase II funding for acquisition and construction has ever been identified. The Phase I work was completed in June 2006 and the project funding closed for Phase I. The landowner has been informed there is no foreseeable Phase II funding.
SRP 9	Full	Construction completed, revegetation planted and maintained for two years, and final replacement planting completed in December 2003. NOC filed March 2003.
SRP 10 Dike	Full	Construction complete. NOC filed March 2003.
7\11 Segment	Full	Construction complete with remaining revegetation planted in December 2003. 7\11 Materials NOC filed March 2003. HART NOC filed May 2004. A separate limited irrigation & maintenance agreement is in place for 2004, funded by MWD.
Design Manual	Full	Completed with Final Report submitted 26 February 2004.
Course Sediment	Full	Report was completed July 2004, with modifications on methods and techniques to protect existing salmonid habitats during implementation. The CBDA Science Panel has

		accepted the CSMP as part of their acceptance of the LG Sediment Infusion Project.
RM 43	Full	The Project was completed in September 2005 and post project monitoring was started in time for this year's salmon run.

Review of "Dry" Year Flow Schedules

• Drier years since 1995 have been 2001,2002, 2003, 2004, and 2007

Schedule	Days	Critical & Below		Median Critical		Intermediat C-D	Ð	Median Dry	y	Intermedial D-BN	8	Median Below Normal		Intermediat BN-AN	æ
Occurrence		6.4%		8.0%		6.1%		10.8%		9,1%		10.3%		15.5%	
Oct 1 - 15	15	100	cfs	100	cfs	150	cfs	150	cfs	180	cfs	200	cfs	300	cfs
		2,975	ac-ft	2,975	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	5,355	ac-ft	5,950	ac-ft	8,926	ac-ft
Attraction Pulse Flow		none		none		none		none		1,676	ac-ft	1,738	ac-fi	5,950	ac-ft
Oct 16 - May 31	228	150	cfs	150	cfs	150	cfs	150	cís	180	cfs	175	cfa	300	cfs
		67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	81,402	ac-ft	79,140	ac-ft	135,669	ac-ft
Outmigration Pulse Flow		11,091	ac-fi	20,091	ac-ft	32,619	ac-ft	37,060	ac-ft	35,920	ac-ft	60.027	ac-ft	89,882	ac-ft
June 1 - Sept 30	122	50	cfs	50	cfs	50	cfs	75	cfs	75	ofs	75	cís	250	cfs
		12,099	ac-ft	12,099	ac-ft	12,099	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	60,496	ac-ft
Volume (ac-ft)	385	94,000		103,000		117,016		127,507		142,502		165,002		300,923	
Basin Index Thres (calc. through WY)		-		1.47	8	2.00	2	2.18	7	2.44	1	2.72	D.	3.18	3

.

TUOLUMNE FERC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FLOW SCHEDULE

Draft - Tuolun	nne River FER(C Flow Volu	mes								
Article 37					Base Annual		Spring Pulse		Fall Pulse		
Fish Flow	Initial Flow	Final Fish			Volume per	Final Amount	Volume per	Scheduled	Volume per		
Year (4/15-	Volume Used	Flow	Final Article 37 Year	SJ Basin	Final Year	of Interpolation	Final Year	Spring Pulse	Final Year	Scheduled Fall	Period of Interpolation
4/14)	in April	Volume	Туре	Index	Туре	Water (AF)	Туре	Volume	Туре	Pulse Volume	Water
1996-1997	NA	300,923	Intermediate AN-W	4,119,611							
1997-1998	300,923	300,923	Intermediate AN-W	4,130,248							
1998-1999	300,923	300,923	Median Wet/Max	5,655,738							
1999-2000	300,923	300,923	Intermediate BN-AN	3,590,923							
2000-2001	300,923	300,923	Intermediate BN-AN	3,381,658							
2001-2002	128,660	128,780	Median Dry	2,205,000	127,507	1,273	37,060	36,902	0	1,431	Fall Pulse
2002-2003	147,763	136,466	Median Dry	2,337,853	127,507	8,959	37,060	42,684	0	2,226	Spring & Fall Pulse
2003-2004	126,064	192,859	Median Blw Normal	2,813,796	165,002	27,857	60,027	32,619	1,736	3,470	Late May to April
2004-2005	140,373	128,970	Median Dry	2,213,884	127,507	1,463	37,060	35,514	0	1,807	Summer, Fall Pulse
2005-2006	300,923	300,923	Median Wet/Max	4,753,642							
2006-2007	300,923	300,923	Median Wet/Max	5,899,081							
2007-2008	110,919	115,836	Median Critical	1,957,604	103,000	12,836	20,091	22,564	0	?	Spring Pulse, Summer











MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

13 December 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

DRAFT AGENDA

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Comments on agenda; approve prior meeting summary (at http://tuolumnerivertac.com/)
- 3. General Update
 - A. Items since last meeting
 - B. Data/report status
 - C. Agency/NGO updates
 - D. Monitoring update
 - E. Restoration and gallery project status
- 4. River operations and forecasts
- 5. Additional items
- 6. 2008 meeting dates

MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT CITY & COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME U. S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE



333 East Canal Drive Turlock, CA 95381-0949 Phone: (209) 883-8275 Fax: (209) 656-2180 Email: tjford@tid.org

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

13 December 2007 9:30 AM Turlock Irrigation District, Lunch Room (2nd floor)

Draft Summary

- 1. Introduction
 - No agencies or NGOs were present FWS had called to say they could not attend
 - Beth Chasnoff is new staff with Stillwater Sciences
- 2. Comments on draft agenda none

A. Sep meeting summary was approved with minor wording change to Item 5

- 3. General Updates
 - A. Items since last meeting: the material list was reviewed
 - B. Data/report status
 - 2007 FERC report will have usual technical reports from monitoring programs (screw traps, seine/snorkel, thermographs, spawning survey)
 - Invertebrate samples from 2005 and 2007 will be ID'ed and a report included if completed in time (by late March)
 - Other reports may be included as available
 - Seine/snorkel report posted to website and available for comment
 - C. Agency and NGO updates
 - None present
 - D. Monitoring update
 - Nothing heard from CDFG since March2007 on TRTAC monitoring grant
 - Snorkel survey was done in September
 - Spawning surveys through November by CDFG indicate very low runs in all three San Joaquin tributaries
 - Anticipate for now continuation of 2007 monitoring activities in 2008, depending

on pending FERC determination; likely that any acoustic study would start in 2009 due to extended lead time involved

- E. Restoration and gallery project status
 - Handout of updated TRTAC project status from Fryer (TID) was reviewed:
 - i. Gasburg Project still has several issues as CDFG removed amendment approval from CALFED meeting agenda in Nov2007; planting by CDFG may not be done as a result
 - ii. Gravel addition project: nothing new since CDFG removed amendment approval from the CALFED meeting agenda in May2007.
 - iii. Warner-Deardorff and Ruddy Reach: nothing new; CDFG has not responded to funding request submitted in May2007
 - Gallery project could begin in 2010 at earliest and operation may depend on several factors
- 4. River operations and forecasts
 - Current minimum flow schedule is 150 cfs through mid-April
 - No pulse flow was allocated by fishery agencies; high pulses went made on Stanislaus and Merced Rivers
 - Ford provided some handouts
 - Precipitation to date is below average, mainly due to very dry November
 - o Dec 1 DWR basin index forecast was critical at 50%
- 5. Additional items
 - Some discussion of Water Temperature Model status of progress will be checked
- 6. 2008 meeting dates
 - Same as 2007, 2nd Thursday of Mar, Jun, Sep, Dec starting at 9:30 AM at TID

FERC 2299 TRTAC Meeting 13 December 2007

Name	<u>Organization</u>
Tim Ford	TID/MID
Roger Masuda (phone)	TID
Walter Ward	MID
Bill Sears	CCSF
Ron Yoshiyama	CCSF
Noah Hume	Stillwater Sciences
Beth Chasnoff	Stillwater Sciences



CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT <u>M E M O R A N D U M</u>

TO:TRTACFROM:Wilton FryerDATE:12 December 2007RE:Restoration Projects - Status Update

Project Funding Status Active Projects:

Fine Sediment	Full	The earthwork portion of the Gasburg Creek restoration construction was completed on 31 July. After the construction DFG raised procedural questions regarding transferring of funds between tasks. To resolve the issue, an amendment was developed and submitted to the ERP for approval at their 15 Nov 07 meeting. The amendment included a 6 month extension to allow for winter storm water monitoring and completion of the revegetation work. On 14 November the amendment was pulled by DFG. At this time it is uncertain if the amendment will be approved before the contract runs out. Without approval of the amendment DFG has held up reimbursement of \$387,103 in invoices that have been submitted for the construction work. Under the amendment the revegetation task was to be transferred to DFG for completion during the winter. However, with the contract expiring on 31 Dec 07, it appears project funds will not be transferred to DFG for that work.
La Grange Gravel	Full	There has been no change in the status of the Gravel Infusion Project since the ERP amendment was pulled from the agenda by DFG on 15 May.
		We continue to wait for the DFG to complete an assessment report for restoration projects on the Merced & Tuolumne Rivers that is due out at the end of December. DFG has indicated they will not consider our amendment until after this assessment report is completed and its findings accepted. Informal discussions with DFG staff indicated that DFG has apparently decided against further gravel infusion projects. Given the late date and the lead time required to acquire the

		appropriate state and federal permits, renewal of the infusion efforts could not get started until summer of 2009.
MJ Ruddy	none	No Change in project status. All the project (Federal) funds were withdrawn by AFRP and CBDA effective 30 June 06 and 31 March 06 respectively. A Warner Deardorff amendment request was submitted on 24 May 2007 that included a redesign of the MJ Ruddy project with lower floodway benches that are considered to be more conducive to fry & smolt rearing. This was a design change approved and funded by AFRP. Processing of this request would be predicated on the contract being issued for the initial Warner- Deardorff Project. See next.
Warner-Deardorff	Uncertain	No change in project status. The status of obtaining a contract for the \$10.8M in CBDA Prop 204 funds originally awarded for Phase II work remains uncertain. However, DFG has indicated that the funds have not been withdrawn from the project. On 24 May 2007 a request was submitted to DFG asking that they now complete the award of the contract that they requested CBDA to suspend in June 2006 only until completion of the transition to DFG administration of the ERP funds. The submittal package included the completed ERP Science Panel directed action & response, the 90% drawings for the original Warner-Deardorff plans, and the 30% level of redesign that included the lowered floodway benches on the MJ Ruddy Project along with the lower bench configuration for the Warner-Deardorff Project. The 30% redesign work had been paid for by AFRP before their portion of the Warner- Deardorff Phase I funding ran out.
Completed Projects:	(No Changes)	
SRP 10	Partial	This project was split into two phases by CBDA and only design and modeling funded under Phase I. No Phase II funding for acquisition and construction has ever been identified. The Phase I work was completed in June 2006 and the project funding closed for Phase I. The landowner has been informed there is no foreseeable Phase II funding.
SRP 9	Full	Construction completed, revegetation planted and maintained for two years, and final replacement planting completed in December 2003. NOC filed March 2003.
SRP 10 Dike	Full	Construction complete. NOC filed March 2003.

7\11 Segment	Full	Construction complete with remaining revegetation planted in December 2003. 7\11 Materials NOC filed March 2003. HART NOC filed May 2004. A separate limited irrigation & maintenance agreement is in place for 2004, funded by MWD.
Design Manual	Full	Completed with Final Report submitted 26 February 2004.
Course Sediment	Full	Report was completed July 2004, with modifications on methods and techniques to protect existing salmonid habitats during implementation. The CBDA Science Panel has accepted the CSMP as part of their acceptance of the LG Sediment Infusion Project.
RM 43	Full	The Project was completed in September 2005 and post project monitoring was started in time for this year's salmon run.





water shed rainfall 5.xls

(fwm)

12/13/2007

SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY WATER Y	Probability of Exceedence	
Forecast Date 99%	90% 75% 50%	25% 10%
Dec 1, 2007 0.7	1.1 1.5 2.1	2.9 3.8
Index = 0.6 * Cur + 0.2 * Cur + 0.2 * Pre Notes: (1) Runoff is the sum of Stanislaus River below Tuolumne River below	Year Index based on flow in millio ent Apr-Jul Runoff (1) ent Oct-Mar Runoff (1) ious Year's Index (2) nimpaired flow in million acre-fee Goodwin Reservoir (aka inflow to I a Grange (aka inflow to New Don Peo ced Falls (aka inflow to Lake McCh	t at: New Melones Res.) dro Reservoir)
San Joaquin River inf (2) Maximum 4.5 for previ	ow to Millerton Lake	
Previous Water Year Indice	:	
2007 = 1977 (Min) = 1983 (Max) = 1956-2005 average =		
Year Type Classification: Wet Above Normal Below Normal Dry Critical	Index based on flow in million a Equal to or greater than 3.8 Greater than 3.1, and less than Greater than 2.5, and equal to o Greater than 2.1, and equal to o Equal to or less than 2.1	3.8 or less than 3.1

This index, originally specified in the 1995 SWRCB Water Quality Control Plan, is used to determine the San Joaquin Valley water year type as implemented in SWRCB D-1641. Year types are set by first of month forecasts beginning in February. Final determination for San Joaquin River flow objectives is based on the May 1 75% exceedence forecast.

Schedule	Davs	I Critical &		Median		Intermodiata		Madian Dr.				No.4			
	•	Below		Critical		a S				D-BN	U	Below Normal		Intermediate BN-AN	a)
Occurrence		6.4%		8.0%		6.1%		10.8%		9.1%		10.3%		15.5%	
Oct 1 - 15		100	cfs	100	cfs	150 0	cís	150	cfs	180	cfs	200	cfs	300	cfs
		2,975	ac-fi	2,975	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	4,463	ac-ft	5,355	ac-ft	5,950	ac-ft	8,926	ac-ft
Attraction Pulse Flow		anone		none	(1889) (1999)	none		none		1,676	ac-ft	1,736	ac-ft	5,950	ac-ft
Oct 16 - May 31	228	150	cfs	150	cfs	150	cfs	150	cfs	180	cfs	175	cfs	300	cfs
		67,835	ac-fi	67,835	ac-fi	67,835	ac-ft	67,835	ac-ft	81,402	ac-ft	79,140	ac-ft	135,669	ac-ft
Outmigration Pulse Flow		 	の (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7)	20,091	ac-ft	32,619	ac-ft	37,060	ac-ft	35,920	ac-ft	60,027	ac-ft	89,882	ac-ft
June 1 - Sept 30	122	20	cfs	50	cfs	20	cfs	75	cfs	75	cfs	75	cfs	250	cfs
		12,099	a0-ft	12,099	ac-fi	12,099	ac-ft	18,149	ac-ft	18,149	ac-fi	18,149	ac-ft	60,496	ac-ft
Volume (ac-ft)	365	94,000		103,000		117,016		127,507		142,502		165,002		300,923	
Basin Index Threshold (calc. through WY2006)	shold (2006)			1.476		2.002		2.187 2.187		2.441 2.463	- (2)	2.720		3.183 2.183	

TUOLUMNE FERC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FLOW SCHEDULE

200-55 5

the second of the second s