Natural Heritage Institute

100 Pine Street, Ste. 1550 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 693-3000 (888) 589-1974 (fax) rrcollins@n-h-i.org

Other Offices

Anchorage, AK Nevada City, CA Sacramento, CA Houston, TX

RECEIVED

FEB 2 U 2007

TURLOCK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

February 14, 2007

Via First Class Mail

Larry Weis, General Manager Turlock Irrigation District P.O. Box 949 Turlock, CA 95381

Allen Short, General Manager Modesto Irrigation District P.O. Box 4060 Modesto, CA 95352

NOTED

FEB 20 2007

L. W. WEIS

Re: Fisheries Monitoring Under Article 58

Dear Messrs. Weis and Short:

The Tuolumne River Preservation Trust, California Rivers Restoration Fund, and Friends of the River (collectively, "Conservation Groups") write regarding Modesto Irrigation District and Turlock Irrigation District's (collectively, "Districts") preparation of a Study Plan and schedule for additional monitoring under Article 58 of the license, as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) required by letter on December 20, 2006 (see e-Library no. 20061226-0019). We request that the Districts convene a meeting, facilitated by an independent facilitator, with interested stakeholders to discuss the draft Study Plan before the Districts finalize the plan and submit it to the Commission on March 20, 2007.

The Commission specified that the Districts should develop the Study Plan in cooperation with other interested stakeholders:

"The study plan to address the above tasks should be developed in cooperation with the Tuolumne River Technical Action Committee (TRTAC), the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), and representatives of the non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that have participated in these proceedings. You should include with the plan documentation of consultation with the TRTAC, NMFS and the NGOs, copies of comments and recommendations on the plan, and specific descriptions of how the TRTAC, NMFS and NGOs' comments are accommodated by the plan. You should allow a minimum of 30 days for the TRTAC, NMFS and the NGOs to comment and to make recommendations, before filing the plan with the Commission. If you do not adopt a recommendation, the filing should include your reasons, based on project-specific information."

Messrs. Weis and Short February 14, 2007 Page 3

Thank you for considering this request. We sincerely hope the requested meeting will contribute to resolution of issues raised by the 10-year Monitoring Summary Report. Please contact us with any questions regarding this letter.

Sincerely,

Richard Roos-Collins

Julie Gantenbein

NATURAL HERITAGE INSTITUTE

100 Pine Street, Suite 1550

San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 693-3000

(415) 693-3178 (fax)

rrcollins@n-h-i.org

gantenbein@n-h-i.org

Attorneys for the CALIFORNIA RIVERS RESTORATION FUND, TUOLUMNE RIVER PRESERVATION TRUST, AND FRIENDS OF THE RIVER

cc:

Office of the Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, Northeast Washington, D.C. 20326

Philip Scordelis FERC, DHAC 901 Market Street, Suite 350 San Francisco, CA 94103 S. Kim Webb
Acting Project Leader
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Stockton Fish and Wildlife Office
4001 N. Wilson Way
Stockton, California 95205
Kim Webb@fws.gov

Deborah Giglio, Chief Energy and Instream Flow Branch U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way, Rm. W-2605 Sacramento, California 95825 Deborah Giglio@fws.gov Messrs. Weis and Short February 14, 2007 Page 5

1234 E Shaw Ave. Fresno, CA 93710-7802 dmarston@dfg.ca.gov